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## Gxecutive Summary

- The Associated Chinese Chambers of Commerce and Industry of Malaysia's (ACCCIM) Malaysia's Business and Economic Conditions Survey (M-BECS) covers Jan-Jun 2022 (1H 2022) and expectations for Jul-Dec 2022 ( 2 H 2022). It was conducted during the period 26 April to 30 June 2022 and has received a total of 860 active responses.


## M-BECS: Overview and Summary of Key Findings

1. Malaysia's economic recovery continues in 1H 2022, albeit unevenly among economic sectors. Underpinning the recovery was the improvement in domestic demand and still buoyant exports.
2. With lingering concerns about both external and domestic headwinds still dominating, a high percentage (70.4\%) of total respondents were "Neutral" about economic conditions in $\mathbf{1 H}$ 2022; and only 14.7\% indicated "Better". The expectations have improved in 2H 2022 ( $25.0 \%$ of respondents expect "Better" economic outlook) amid "Neutral" still high at 56.5\%.
3. Overall, a majority of respondents (65.0\%) are neutral about economic outlook in 2022; 20.5\% expect "Better" economic outlook, and 14.5\% perceive "Worse" outlook.
4. For 2023, a high percentage of respondents (47.7\%) hold a "Neutral" view about economic prospects while 39.8\% expect "Better" prospects. Lingering worries about global stagflation, rising recession risks in the US economy and Europe, faster global monetary tightening as well as domestic issues have dampened business sentiment.
5. $\mathbf{6 5 . 0 \%}$ of respondents have a "Neutral" view about business outlook in 2022, especially in 1 H 2022 ( $67.3 \%$ vs. $58.8 \%$ in 2 H 2022). A higher percentage of respondents (26.1\%) expect "Better" business prospects in 2 H 2022 vs. $17.2 \%$ in 1H 2022. It is encouraging that $69.5 \%$ of respondents across most sectors reported that their sales have recovered or even been higher compared to pre-pandemic level (vs. $56.5 \%$ surveyed previously).
6. Businesses' cash flows and debtors' conditions were largely unchanged in 1H 2022 though a higher percentage of respondents have experienced "Worse" debtors' condition. Moving into 2H 2022, a majority of them is cautious about business, cash flows and debtors' conditions.
7. "Increase in prices of raw materials" (61.6\%) remained as the top factor that impacted business performance in 1H 2022, followed by "Shortage of workers" (53.8\%); "High operating cost and cash flow problem" (43.5\%); "The Ringgit's fluctuation" (40.0\%); and "Shortage of raw materials" (32.6\%).
8. Business assessment in $\mathbf{1 H} 2022$ and 2 H 2022 F :
a) Sales performance: $49.8 \%$ of respondents have experienced an increase in sales revenue in 1H 2022, thanks to the reopening of economy and international borders. Slightly lower respondents (47.0\%) expect better sales revenue in 2H 2022.
b) Business operations: Overall production level has improved in 1H 2022 amid facing the shortage of workers and increased cost of raw materials. Nevertheless, about 74.8\% of respondents will either increase or maintain their production in 2H 2022.
c) Cost of raw materials: Substantial increase in prices of domestic (indicated by 84.0\% of respondents) and imported raw materials (79.8\%) in 1H 2022 will continue, albeit slightly lower in 2H 2022, especially in the manufacturing and construction sectors.
d) Manpower: Most sectors have kept their employment unchanged in 1H 2022 and will likely maintain the level in 2H 2022. Employees' wages have increased due to higher minimum wages.
e) Capital expenditure: Close to two-thirds of respondents have increased their capital expenditure in 1H 2022. Many companies will continue to invest further in 2 H 2022, suggesting a moderate recovery in business sentiments, backed by the continued economic recovery.

Topical Issue: "RCEP: Tapping SMEs' Potential to Go Global"
a) Most SMEs' respondents are scattered among growing stage and expansion stage. Slightly more than half of total respondents have perceived Malaysia's manufacturing sector is in the Industrial Revolution 3.0 phase.
b) Malaysian SMEs: SWOT analysis (Top three ranking by \% of respondents):

| Strengths |  | Weaknesses |  | Opportunities |  | Threats |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adjust to market trend and demand | 43.0 | Lack of skilled manpower | 52.5 | Adopt e-commerce platform to expand sales | 59.6 | Inadequate skills | 49.7 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Difficult |  |
| Leverage on rich domestic natural resources | 39.3 | Lack of capital | 46.4 | Greater market access via free trade agreements | 55.3 | obtain finance | 46. |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Competing market share from both domestic and external |  |
|  |  | Inadequate R\&D activities | 43.8 | Collaboration with foreign parties for market expansion | 48.2 |  | 43.8 |
| Cost and price competitive | 33.6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

c) Top three potential products and services that SMEs can tap into the global market:

| Products | Halal products | Services | Medical tourism |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Palm-based bio products |  | Halal consultancy services |
|  | Fruits |  | Food and restaurant franchising |

d) SMEs have ranked top three major constraints faced in the global market: (i) Small economies of scale (56.3\%); (ii) Lack of technical know-how (49.8\%); and (iii) Inadequate knowledge about the trade rules and regulations (43.0\%).
e) "Participate in trade fair, trade mission and business networking" (57.0\% of respondents) and "Integrating or adapting to new technologies" (55.9\%) were rated as the best approaches for SMEs to compete in the global market.
f) More than half of total respondents would like the Government to enhance SMEs' capabilities via: (i) Reduce the regulatory burdens and compliance costs (58.8\%); (ii) Increase the technology base of SMEs (56.2\%); and (iii) Promote SMEs-FDI linkages and Design more, appropriate and attractive financing solutions (both are 50.9\%).

$E=$ Estimates; $F=F$ orecast
－马来西亚中华总商会（中总）于2022年4月26日至6月30日进行的马来西亚商业和经济状况调查问卷，涵盖2022年1月至6月（2022年上半年）和2022年7月至12月（2022年下半年）的前景预测。本次调查共收到 860 份的回复。

## M－BECS：调查结果的主要概述与总结

1．尽管各经济领域之间的复苏并不均衡，但整体而言，马来西亚的经济于2022 年上半年持续复苏。支撑经济复苏的主要因素是国内需求的改善和持续强劲的出口。

2．由于对外围和国内不利因素的担忧挥之不去，大部分回复者（ $70.4 \%$ ）对 2022 年上半年的经济状况持＂中和＂的观点；只有 $14.7 \%$ 的回复者表示＂更好＂。尽管仍有 $56.5 \%$ 的回复者认为 2022 年下半年的前景将继续保持＂中和＂，但有 $25.0 \%$ 的回复者预计＂更好＂的经济前景，相比有所改善。

3．总体而言，大部分回复者（ $65.0 \%$ ）对 2022 年的经济前景持中和态度； $20.5 \%$ 的回复者预计经济前景＂更好＂， $14.5 \%$ 的回复者预计经济前景＂更差＂。

4．对于 2023 年，高比率的回复者（ $47.7 \%$ ）对经济前景持＂中和＂看法，而 $39.8 \%$ 的回复者预计前景＂更好＂。对全球滞胀的担忧挥之不去，美国和欧洲经济衰退风险上升，全球货币收紧步伐加快以及国内多项问题，进而抑制了商业情绪。

5．65．0\％的回复者对 2022 年的业务前景持＂中和＂看法，尤其是 2022年上半年（ $67.3 \%$ 对2022年下半年的 $58.8 \%$ ）。约 $26.1 \%$ 的回复者预计 2022 年下半年的业务前景＂更好＂，此比率较高于 2022 年上半年的 $17.2 \%$ 。令人鼓舞的是，大多数行业中有 $69.5 \%$ 的回复者表示他们的销售额已经恢复，甚至是更高于新冠疫情前的水平（上一期的调查则是 $56.5 \%$ ）。

6．企业的现金流和债务人状况在 2022 年上半年基本上没有变化，尽管较高比率的回复者经历了＂更糟糕＂的债务人状况。进入2022年下半年，大多数的回复者对业务，现金流和债务人状况持谨慎的态度。

7．＂原料价格上涨＂（61．6\％）仍是影响2022 年上半年业绩的首要因素，其次是＂员工短缺＂ （ $53.8 \%$ ）；＂高运营成本和现金流问题＂（ $43.5 \%$ ）；＂令吉波动＂（ $40.0 \%$ ）；＂原料短缺＂ （32．6\％）。

8．2022年上半年和2022年下半年前景的业务评估：
a）销售业绩：由于经济和国境的重新开放， $49.8 \%$ 的回复者在 2022 年上半年的销售收入有所增加。略低的回复者（ $47.0 \%$ ）预计 2022 年下半年的销售收入会更好。
b）商业营运：在面临员工短缺和原料成本增加的情况下，2022年上半年整体生产水平仍有所改善。尽管如此，约 $74.8 \%$ 的回复者将在 2022 年下半年，增加或维持产量。
c）原料成本：2022年上半年国内原料（ $84.0 \%$ 的回复者指出）和进口原料（ $79.8 \%$ 的回复者）价格大幅上涨，尤其是在制造业和建筑业，并将延续至 2022 年下半年，只是回复者比率略有下降。
d）人力：大多数行业在 2022 年上半年保持他们的员工就业情况不变，并可能在 2022 年下半年保持相同水平。由于最低薪金的提高，整体员工薪金水平录得上升。
e）资本支出：近三分之二的回复者表明，在2022年上半年增加了资本支出。许多企业将在 2022年下半年继续进一步作出投资。这表明了在经济持续复苏的支持下，商业情绪温和复苏。

当前课题：区域全面经济伙伴关系协定（RCEP）：发掘中小企业＂走向世界＂的潜力
a）大多数的中小企业回复者，业务发展主要处在成长和扩张阶段。略多于一半的回复者认为马来西亚的制造业处于工业革命 3.0 的阶段。
b）马来西亚中小企业的强弱危机分析（SWOT Analysis）（回复者的前三个回复选项，\％）：

| 优势 |  | 劣势 |  | 机会 |  | 威胁 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 可适应市场趋势和需求 | 43.0 | 缺乏熟练员工 | 52.5 | 采用电子商务平台，以扩大销售 | 59.6 | 技能不足 | 49.7 |
| 可利用国内丰富的自然资源 | 39.3 | 缺乏资金 | 46.4 | 通过自由贸易协定扩大市场准入 | 55.3 | 很难获得融资 | 46.6 |
| 具有成本和价格竞争力 | 33.6 | 研发项目不足 | 43.8 | 与外国公司合作开拓市场 | 48.2 | 与国内外竞争市场份额 | 43.8 |

c）本地中小企业有潜力打入全球市场的 3 大产品及服务：

| 产品 | 清真产品 | 服务 | 医疗旅游 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 人棕桐为基础的生物产品 |  | 清真咨询服务 |
|  | 水果 |  | 品和餐厅特许经 |
| 中小企业进入全球市场面临着的主要受限制因素为：（i）经济规模小（56．3\％）；（ii）缺乏技术知识 （ $49.8 \%$ ）；以及（iii）对贸易规章制度了解不足（ $43.0 \%$ ）。 |  |  |  |
| ＂参与展销会，贸易代表团及商务交流＂（57．0\％的回复者）和＂整合或适应新技术＂（55．9\％）被评为中小企业在全球市场竞争的最佳途径。 |  |  |  |
| 超过一半的回复者希望政府通过：（i）减少法规负担和合规成本（58．8\％）；（ii）扩大中小企业的技术基础（ $56.2 \%$ ）；和（iii）促进中小企业与外国直接投资的联接，以及设计更多，适当和有吸引力的融资解决方案（皆 $50.9 \%$ ），以更好地提高中小企业的能力。 |  |  |  |
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## Background

- The Associated Chinese Chambers of Commerce and Industry of Malaysia (ACCCIM)'s Bi-Annual Survey on Malaysia's Economic Situation, which was launched since 1992, is being recognised as an important barometer to gauge Malaysian business community's assessment and expectations about domestic business and economic conditions.
- Starting 1 January 2019, this survey was renamed as Malaysia's Business and Economic Conditions Survey (M-BECS).
- This survey, covering Jan-Jun 2022 (1H 2022) and expectations for Jul-Dec 2022 (2H 2022) contains three sections:


## i. Economic and Business Performance and Outlook;

ii. Factors Affecting Business Performance; and
iii. Current Issue Confronting Businesses

## Significance of M-BECS

- A complementary role to other surveys. M-BECS serves to complement as well as fill the gaps of existing market and industry surveys conducted by various private organisations, namely the Malaysian Institute of Economic Research (MIER), the Federation of Malaysian Manufacturers (FMM), RAM Holdings Berhad, etc. It can be used to supplement Department of Statistics, Malaysia (DOSM) to gauge Malaysia's overall economic and business conditions.
- An important input for the national development process. ACCCIM is a major national organisation representing Malaysian Chinese business community, and has been playing an effective contributory role in providing our perspectives of current economic and business conditions as well as their expectations.
- Gathering of feedback, inputs and suggestions. The respondents' feedback and suggestions concerning pertinent business and economic issues as well as problems faced will provide a basis for the preparation of memoranda and policy papers/notes for onward submission to the Government and relevant Ministries and agencies for their consideration.
- Reference sources for public and private. M-BECS also serves as a source of reference for the Government, researchers, business community and investors in the formulation of public policy, business expansion and investment planning.

In particular, it helps the Government to gauge the effectiveness of public policies implemented and hence, would consider to make the necessary adjustments for future policy formulation.

- The survey period covering Jan-Jun 2022 (1H 2022) and expectations for Jul-Dec 2022 (2H 2022) has gathered respondents' assessment of their business performance and economic outlook, including views about current issue and challenges faced by the Malaysian business community. The survey questionnaire is divided into three sections as follows:


## Section B "Overall Assessment"

- Identify what are the major factors affecting the business performance; and
- Track the performance and outlook of economic and business conditions.


## Section A "Business Background"

- Profile of businesses - type of principal business activity and its size of business operations;
- Share of total sales in domestic vs. overseas market; and - Number of employees and the proportion of local vs.
foreign workers to total the proportion of local vs.
foreign workers to total employment.


## Section C "Current Issue"

 Potential to Go Global- Survey coverage - The questionnaires were distributed to nationwide direct and indirect memberships of 17 Constituent Chambers as well as 19 Associate Members, which comprise Malaysian Chinese companies, individuals and trade associations. As most of the prominent Chinese businessmen are committee/council members of ACCCIM either at the national or state levels; hence, their participation would enhance the representation of Chinese business community. The questionnaires were outreached to Chinese businesses nationwide via SurveyMonkey as main distribution channel and hard copies as alternative channel.

| 17 Constituent Members |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Terengganu CCCI |  KLSCCCI <br> Negeri |  | Penang CCC |
| ACCCI Sarawak | Perak CCCI | Johor ACCCI | Klang CCCI |
| Kelantan CCC | ACCCI Pahang | Batu Pahat CCC | Kedah CCCI |
| Kluang CCCI | North Perak CCCI | Malacca CCCI | Perlis CCCI |

## Profile of Survey Respondents

- A total of 860 active responses were received throughout the survey period ( $\mathbf{2 6}$ April to $\mathbf{3 0}$ June 2022), covering a broad representation of the economy. The profile of respondents is as follows ${ }^{1}$ :


[^0]

## Sentiment Tracker

## Sentiment Tracker

Economic Conditions and Prospects


- With both external and domestic headwinds still dominating, a high percentage (70.4\%) of total respondents were "Neutral" about economic conditions in 1H 2022; and only $14.7 \%$ indicated "Better". The expectations have improved in 2H 2022 ( $25.0 \%$ of respondents expect "Better" economic outlook) amid "Neutral" still high at 56.5\%.
- Lingering concerns about recession risks in the global economy and the US; the Fed's aggressive stance on interest rate hikes; the prolonged Russia-Ukraine war; persistent consumer inflation pressures; supply disruptions; and increasing business costs.


## Business Conditions and Prospects

- Only $17.2 \%$ and $26.1 \%$ of respondents expect "Better" business conditions in 1H and 2 H 2022, respectively, indicating still an uneven state of business recovery.
- A majority of respondents (65.0\%) have a "Neutral" view in 2022, given the prolonged geopolitical tensions, supply chain disruptions, volatile and elevated prices of raw materials and the shortage of workers.
- $39.6 \%$ of respondents expect "Better" business prospects in 2023, higher than 21.2\% in 2022.
- $69.5 \%$ of respondents across most sectors reported that their sales revenue was about the same or higher compared to pre-pandemic level (vs. $56.5 \%$ and $36.2 \%$ surveyed previously).
- Of $30.5 \%$ of respondents with sales revenue lower than pre-pandemic level, $10.5 \%$ of their revenue was lower by more than 30\%.

$E=E s t i m a t e s ; F=F o r e c a s t$


## Business Sales Performance

More than $\mathbf{3 0 \%}$ higher: $9.8 \%$
10-30\% higher: 31.4\%
About the same: 28.4\%

Below than prepandemic level: 30.5\%

## Business conditions



- More than half of total respondents have a "Neutral" view of their business conditions in 1H 2022, while a lower number of respondents have perceived "Worse" conditions compared to previous survey.
- Large enterprises have mostly recovered from the pandemic, with 49.3\% reporting "Better" business conditions.
- For 2H 2022, a majority of them hold a cautious view about their business conditions.

Cash flows conditions

- On cash flows conditions, there was an improvement from the past expectations as reflected in more respondents having both "Neutral" and "Better" views in 1H 2022.
- For 2H 2022, businesses' cash flows conditions are expected to be somewhat challenging, given a decline in the percentage of respondents expecting "Better" outlook and a higher number of respondents expecting "Worse" conditions ( $19.1 \%$ in 2 H vs. $18.7 \%$ in 1 H 2022 ).




## Business

Pulse Diagnosis

## Business Pulse Diagnosis

Factors Affecting Business Performance in 1H 2022
\#有 Increase in prices of raw materials

- The supply disruptions worsened by Russia-Ukraine war have resulted in soaring prices of raw materials. $61.6 \%$ of respondents ranked "Increase in prices of raw materials" as the top factor that has constrained their business performance in 1H 2022 (56.7\% in 2H 2021).
- More than $80 \%$ of respondents in the manufacturing ( $87.5 \%$ ) and construction ( $85.8 \%$ ) sectors have suffered the most.
- 84.0\% and 79.8\% of respondents indicated that cost of local and imported raw materials is higher, respectively, in 1H 2022. The manufacturing sector felt the most for local raw materials.
- Most manufacturers and construction players are compelled to pass through increased costs to their customers. 71.9\% and $69.8 \%$ of them have raised their domestic prices, respectively.
Commodity Price Index (2010=100)
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While prices of many commodities have eased off from their peak since end-Jun, the price stickiness may take some time to adjust downward. This is due to increased cost of planting and production. There remains uncertainty about the on-going RussiaUkraine war and other unexpected negative shocks to the supply disruptions.


## \#S Shortage of workers

- "Shortage of workers", as ranked by 53.8\% of respondents, is an increasing concern for the business community, which has jumped from $5^{\text {th }}$ placing in the previous survey. The shortages were acute in the manufacturing (76.2\% of respondents), construction (68.9\%) and agriculture (66.7\%) sectors.
- Up to 24 June 2022, about 20,000 foreign workers have arrived in Malaysia, which is only about $6.5 \%$ of total approved quota of 310,000 persons. Only 114,121 FW working passes were approved out of 418,528 applications in the Labour Recalibration Program as at end-Jun 2022.
- Many businesses have turned down sale orders and operated below the optimum production capacity. A prolonged delay in foreign workers' arrival would further dampen industries' productivity and output.


## \#3 High operating cost \& cash flow problem

- While still-high $43.5 \%$ of total respondents cited this restraining factor, it has improved from $2^{\text {nd }}$ ranking as voted by 53.0\% previously.
- Supply disruptions and negative price as well as cost shocks, including increase in minimum wage, have increased operating costs. This together with the uneven recovery in domestic demand, has affected businesses' cash flow conditions.
- $62.8 \%$ of respondents have a "Neutral" cash flows condition in 1 H 2022 ( $49.0 \%$ in 2 H 2021) while a higher percentage indicated "Better" ( $18.6 \%$ vs. $10.5 \%$ in 2 H 2021 ). Higher share (19.7\% in 2H 2022 vs. $18.7 \%$ in 1H) of respondents would experience "Worse" condition.




## \#仚 The Ringgit's fluctuation

- The Ringgit's fluctuation creates uncertainty about the pricing of imported raw materials or goods. Of the total $40.0 \%$ that have quoted the ringgit's fluctuation as an impacting factor, trading industry (55.0\%) and the transportation, forwarding and warehousing industry (54.5\%) registered the highest percentage of votes.
- Compared to end-2021, the Ringgit has depreciated by $5.2 \%$ against the greenback to RM4.4055/US\$1 on 30 Jun. The Ringgit depreciated further to RM4.4600 on 27 Jul, the worst level since 2017.
- Against other major and regional currencies, the ringgit has performed unevenly. As at endJuly 2022, it has appreciated against Japanese yen ( $+8.6 \%$ ) and euro ( $+4.0 \%$ ) but depreciated against Singapore dollar (-4.3\%) and Chinese yuan ( $-0.7 \%$ ) compared to end-2021.


## \#S Shortage of raw materials

- Businesses are facing a double whammy impact: Increasing prices of raw materials and the shortage of raw materials.
- Close to one-third (32.6\%) of total respondents indicated that the shortage of raw materials has affected their business performance in 1H 2022, mainly in the manufacturing (50.0\%) and construction (48.1\%) sectors.
- This dampening factor has been consistently featured in past surveys, with increasing percentage of votes, mainly caused by the recovering global demand post-pandemic crisis amid the supply constraints and disruptions that were unable to catch up with pent-up demand. Adding to the shortage of raw materials globally is the war in Ukraine, which has worsened the supply-demand imbalances.


## Business Assessment in 1H 2022 and 2H 2022F

## Overall Sales Outlook



Sales have gradually returned to normalcy

- Respondents' sales performance mostly matched their previous expectations, of which 49.8\% of respondents have experienced an increase in sales in 1H 2022, particularly in tourism-related sectors (60.9\%), of which $\mathbf{2 2 . 0} \%$ reported an increase of more than $30 \%$ in sales revenue. It must be noted that overall higher sales revenue was partially contributed by the higher selling prices.
- Overall sales performance was also reflected in both domestic and foreign sales revenue, whereby more than $40 \%$ of respondents reported improvement.
- Nevertheless, domestic sales revenue declined in the transportation sector (46.9\%), of which most losses were between $1 \%$ and $15 \%$.
- In the tourism sector and related sub-sectors, $38.9 \%$ have experienced increases in sales from foreign tourists in $\mathbf{1 H}$ 2022. 27.8\% of businesses have experienced 16\%$30 \%$ increases, thanks to the reopening of international borders that helped to boost tourism activities.
- As a result of rising prices of raw materials as well as the supply disruptions, domestic and foreign prices increased substantially in 1H 2022 and are expected to stay elevated in 2H 2022.

Overall sales revenue


E=Estimates; F=Forecast * Data obtained from previous survey.
Note: Balance=\% of respondents voted "Increase" minus \% of respondents voted "Decrease"


## Domestic level

Sales revenue

| 40.6\% | 50.6\% | $\uparrow>30 \% \quad: \quad 9.3 \%$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\uparrow$ 16-30\% : 15.9\% |
| 29.4\% | 19.8\% | † 1-15\% : 25.4\% |
| 29.9\% | 29.6\% | Balance: Net increase 21.0\% of respondents |
| $\begin{gathered} 1 \mathrm{H} \\ 2022 \mathrm{~F}^{*} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1 \mathrm{H} \\ 2022 \mathrm{~A} \end{gathered}$ |  |

Price level

| 48.3\% | 66.0\% | $\uparrow>30 \%$ : 11.7\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\uparrow$ 16-30\% : 21.8\% |
| 36.8\% |  | $\uparrow$ 1-15\% : 32.5\% |
|  | $24.0 \%$ | Balance: Net increase 55.9\% of respondents |
| $\begin{gathered} 1 \mathrm{H} \\ 202 \mathrm{~F}^{*} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1 \mathrm{H} \\ 2022 \mathrm{~A} \end{gathered}$ |  |

- Increase - Unchanged - Decrease
- Increase - Unchanged - Decrease

| 63.2\% | $\uparrow>30 \%$ : 9.0\% |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | $\uparrow$ 16-30\% : 18.4\% |
|  | $\uparrow$ 1-15\% : 35.8\% |
| $27.5 \%$ | Balance: Net increase 53.9\% of respondents |
| 9.3\% |  |
| $\begin{gathered} 2 \mathrm{H} \\ 2022 \mathrm{~F} \end{gathered}$ |  |

Foreign level
Sales revenue

| 34.1\% | 42.3\% | $\uparrow>30 \%$ : $4.3 \%$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\uparrow$ 16-30\% : 13.3\% |
| 37.4\% | 29.9\% | † 1-15\% : 24.7\% |
| 28.5\% | 27.8\% | Balance: Net increase 14.5\% of respondents |
| $\underset{\substack{1 \mathrm{H} \\ 202 F^{*}}}{ }$ | $\begin{gathered} 1 \mathrm{H} \\ 2022 \mathrm{~A} \end{gathered}$ |  |

Price level


- Increase - Unchanged - Decrease

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 40.0 \% \rightarrow \begin{array}{|lll|}
\hline \uparrow>30 \% & : & 4.8 \% \\
\hline \uparrow 16-30 \% & : & 10.5 \% \\
\hline & \uparrow 1-15 \% & : \\
\hline
\end{array} \\
& \text { Balance: Net increase } \\
& 16.5 \% \text { of respondents } \\
& 2 \mathrm{H} \\
& \text { 2022F }
\end{aligned}
$$

- Increase - Unchanged - Decrease



## Business Operations

Production recovery disrupted by increased prices of raw materials \& workers shortage

- Following the economy reopening and transition towards endemic amid the supply disruptions and shortage of workers, a higher percentage of respondents reported either an increase or a decrease in their production level in 1H 2022.
- About $40 \%$ of total respondents have either increased or maintained the inventory or stock level in 1H 2022.
- Overall, most respondents are operating below 75\% capacity utilisation in 1H 2022, and will likely maintain the same level in $\mathbf{2 H}$ as constrained by the shortage of workers.
- Businesses will either increase or maintain the production and inventory level in 2H 2022 on cautiousness about both external and domestic demand.

Production

| 39.8\% | 41.0\% | $\uparrow>30 \% \quad: \quad 6.9 \%$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\uparrow 16-30 \%$ : 13.4\% |
| 36.8\% | 31.6\% | $\uparrow$ 1-15\% : 20.7\% |
| 23.3\% | 27.4\% | Balance: Net increase <br> $13.6 \%$ of respondents |
| $\begin{gathered} 1 \mathrm{H} \\ 2022 \mathrm{~F}^{*} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1 \mathrm{H} \\ 2022 \mathrm{~A} \end{gathered}$ |  |

Inventory or stock level

| 34.2\% | 38.8\% | $\uparrow>30 \% \quad: 8.5 \%$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\uparrow$ 16-30\% : 10.3\% |
| 43.7\% | 37.2\% | $\uparrow$ 1-15\% : 20.0\% |
| 22.1\% | 24.0\% | Balance: Net increase 14.8\% of respondents |
| $\begin{gathered} 1 \mathrm{H} \\ 2022 F^{*} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1 \mathrm{H} \\ 2022 \mathrm{~A} \end{gathered}$ |  |

- Increase - Unchanged - Decrease

- Increase - Unchanged - Decrease


Capacity utilisation level



## Soaring cost of raw materials

- More than $50 \%$ of respondents in most sectors, especially manufacturing and construction, reported substantial increases in prices of local and imported raw materials, by a magnitude of more than $10 \%$. They expect price increases to persist in 2H 2022.
- The Russia-Ukraine war-induced rising energy and commodity prices, as well as global supply shortages, have exacerbated the situation of rising costs, which have weighed on businesses' costs and margins.
- The imbalances between supply constraints and recovering demand coupled with high cost of production will hamper the business recovery trajectory.


## Local raw materials

| 70.8\% | 84.0\% | $\uparrow>10 \%$ : 56.1\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\uparrow$ 6-10\% : 18.8\% |
|  |  | $\uparrow$ 1-5\% : 9.1\% |
| $\begin{gathered} 19.6 \% \\ 9.5 \% \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 11.6 \% \\ 4.4 \% \end{gathered}$ | Balance: Net increase 79.6\% of respondents |
| $\begin{gathered} 1 \mathrm{H} \\ 2022 \mathrm{~F}^{\star} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1 \mathrm{H} \\ 2022 \mathrm{~A} \end{gathered}$ |  |

Imported raw materials


- Increase - Unchanged - Decrease

| 81.2\% | $\uparrow>10 \% \quad: 46.6 \%$ |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | $\uparrow$ 6-10\% : 20.3\% |
|  | $\uparrow 1-5 \%$ : 14.2\% |
|  | Balance: Net increase 77.6\% of respondents |
| 15.2\% |  |
| -3.6\% |  |
| $\begin{gathered} 2 \mathrm{H} \\ 2022 \mathrm{~F} \end{gathered}$ |  |

- Increase - Unchanged - Decrease

$E=E s t i m a t e s ; ~ F=F o r e c a s$
Note: Balance=\% of respondents voted "Increase" minus \% of respondents voted "Decrease"


## Manpower



## Employment outlook remains the same amid rising wages

- Most sectors have maintained their workforce in 1H 2022 except for the manufacturing sector, which has reported a net decrease. Overall, the employment trend will remain in 2 H .
- Given an increase in monthly minimum wage by $25.0 \%-36.4 \%$ to RM1,500, $65.9 \%$ of respondents have increased their employees' wages in 1H 2022, of which $22.5 \%$ of respondents reported more than $10 \%$ increment in wages. As a temporary exemption was given to micro enterprises, a higher percentage of respondents from small (68.6\%), medium ( $82.9 \%$ ), and large ( $78.9 \%$ ) enterprises reported increases in wages compared to micro enterprises (49.0\%). Most respondents expect a similar trend of wage increases for 2 H 2022, due to improvement in the labour market condition. National unemployment rate has improved to $3.9 \%$ in May 2022 from a high of $5.3 \%$ in May 2020 during the COVID-19 pandemic.

Number of employees

| 1H 2022F* | $1 \mathrm{H} \mathrm{2022A}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $28.4 \%$ | $30.8 \%$ |
| $53.6 \%$ | $43.8 \%$ |
| $18.1 \%$ | $25.3 \%$ |
|  | 2 H 2022 F |
|  | $\rightarrow$ |
|  | $35.1 \%$ |

$\square$ Increase Unchanged $=$ Decrease
Balance: Net increase $16.2 \%$ of respondents

| $8.6 \%$ | Increase >10 |
| :---: | :---: |
| $9.3 \%$ | Increase 6-10 |
| $17.1 \%$ | Increase 1-5 |
| $46.0 \%$ | Unchanged |
| $11.3 \%$ | Decrease 1-5 |
| $3.0 \%$ | Decrease 6-10 |
| $4.6 \%$ | Decrease $>10$ |

Wage growth

| 1H 2022F* | 1H 2022A | Balance: Net increase 55.0\% of respondents |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 40.8\% | 65.9\% | 21.9\% | Increase >10\% |
| 50.7\% | 28.1\% | 16.7\% | Increase 6\%-10\% |
| 8.5\% | 6.0\% | 21.1\% | Increase 1\%-5\% |
|  | 2H 2022F | 35.6\% | Unchanged |
| - | 59.7\% | 2.2\% | Decrease 1\%-5\% |
|  | 35.6\% | 0.6\% | Decrease 6\%-10\% |
|  | 4.7\% | 1.9\% | Decrease $>10 \%$ |

$\square$ Increase Unchanged $=$ Decrease
Balance: Net increase 55.0\% of respondents

Increase 6\% - 10\%
Increase 1\%-5\%

## Unchanged

Decrease 1\%-5\%
Decrease 6\%-10\%
Decrease $>10 \%$

## Capital Expenditure



## Improving investment prospects

- Nearly two-thirds of total respondents (65.1\%) have increased their capital expenditure in 1H 2022, in tandem with improvement in business activities, thanks to the economy reopening.
- Most companies plan to invest further in 2H 2022, albeit at a lower percentage share $(57.2 \%$ vs. $65.1 \%$ in 1 H 2022), while $37.3 \%$ of respondents will maintain their capital investment. This indicates a moderate recovery in business sentiments, backed by continued economic recovery.


E=Estimates; F=Forecast * Data obtained from previous survey.
Note: Balance=\% of respondents voted "increase" minus \% of respondents voted "decrease"


## Current Issue:

RCEP: Tapping SMEs' Potential to Go Global

## RCEP: Tapping SMEs' Potential to Go Global

## Introduction

- The implementation of the Regional Comprehensive Economic Partnership (RCEP) is expected to bring gains to all 15 members by increasing the opportunities for trade and services, especially intra-regional trade. It is believed that RCEP will catalyse to aid Malaysia's post-pandemic recovery through the expansion of trade and services.
- Nearly $60 \%$ of Malaysia's total trade is trading with RCEP members in 2021, of which about $56 \%$ were exports, while imports made up $62 \%$ of the total. With better market access to an enlarged RCEP market base, domestic manufacturers and businesses can sell more products as well as source for more raw materials (suppliers). Consumers are availed of more and better choices of goods and services offered by RCEP countries.
- The participation of SMEs would benefit from this enlarged market (almost half of the world population and $30 \%$ of global trade) via lower tariffs, market access and the standardisation of trade rules and regulations.
- SMEs must strengthen their capacity to seek new markets and expand their export. While RCEP offers opportunities to domestic SMEs, increasing market access will pose competition and challenges to SMEs in both domestic and international markets. They have to be well prepared and stay competitive and innovative to reap benefits from the free trade deal.


## SMEs' contribution to the economy

97.4\% of total number of business
establishments in 2021 Notes: Units (\% shares)
SMEs: $1,226,494$ (97.4\%) Vs Large: 32,269 (2.6\%)


Contribution to GDP declined in 2020 and 2021, the COVID-19 pandemic years \% shares to GDP


Significant share of services exports before 2020, but not of merchandise exports


## SMEs' current stage of business development in Malaysia

- Most SMEs' respondents are scattered among growing stage and expansion stage. While many micro-sized respondents have positioned themselves at the start-up stage, most large enterprises are in the expansion stage.
- During the growth stage, businesses have started to balance the pace of increased cash flows and customer base without losing sight of the core business. It requires businesses to identify and drive new opportunities or undertake specific areas for improvement.



## Perception on Malaysia's manufacturing sector's industrial revolution stage

The Fourth Industrial Revolution (IR4.0) is a big mover for Malaysia's industrial transformation to achieve a high-income nation status. This requires capital investment in advancing technological and digitalisation capabilities, an adequate supply of highly skilled and knowledge-based human capital and, more importantly, to enhance a conducive and competitive investment environment.

Note:
IR 1.0 (Mechanisation, steam and water power) IR 2.0 (Mass production and electricity) IR 3.0 (Electronic and IT systems, automation) IR 4.0 (Cyber-physical systems)


## INDUSTRIAL REVOLUTION (MANUFACTURING)




## Products that SMEs have the potential to go into the global market

\% of respondents

| Halal products, 55.0\% |  | Fruits, 46.8\% |  | Palm-based bio products, 52.3\% |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Herb products, } \\ 27.5 \% \end{gathered}$ |  | Biomedical products, 22.8\% |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Nutritional supplements, 20.0\% |  |
| Frozen food, 36.1\% | Snack products, 30.6\% |  | Beverages, 25.7\% | Wood-based products, 35.4\% |  |  |  | Personal protective equipment (PPE), 20.4\% |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Cosmetic products, 16.6\% | Ornamental fish, 14.8\% |  |  |
|  | Aquaculture,$30.3 \%$ |  | Canned products, 17.4\% | Construction materials \& hardware, 16.1\% | Ceramic products, $14.0 \%$ | Sport equipmen 6.9\% |  |  |  |

- Food products

Non-food consumer products
■ Industrial resource-based products

- Medical-related products

Services that SMEs have the potential to offer in the global market
\% of respondents

| Halal consultancy services, 42.5\% |  | Food and restaurant franchising, 38.1\% |  | Software design, 32.1\% | Data processing activities, 27.6\% | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Engineering } \\ & \text { services, } \\ & 23.9 \% \end{aligned}$ | Industrial design activities, 20.3\% |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Maintenan and overh | $\begin{aligned} & \text { epair } \\ & \text { ARO), } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |
|  | Accounting services, 18.2\% |  | Fashion design services, | Smartphone gaming apps, 23.0\% | $\begin{gathered} \text { Programming, } \\ 21.4 \% \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Education, } \\ 33.3 \% \end{gathered}$ | Graphic design,14.8\% |  |  |  | Entertainment post-production activities, 16.6\% | Medical tourism, 49.0\% |  |  |

- IT-related services
- Professional services

■ Industrial-related services ■ Medical-related services

High potential products that SMEs can go into the global market ...

Halal Products (55.0\%)

- Halal products are categorised under food items as well as non-food items such as pharmaceuticals and cosmetics.
- Based on 2021 estimates, 1.9 billion Muslims worldwide have spent an equivalent of US\$2 trillion on food, pharmaceuticals, cosmetics and other sectors. This spending marked an increase of $8.9 \%$ growth from 2020.
- As all sectors (except travel) have nearly or already returned to pre-pandemic spending levels by end-2021, Muslim spending is estimated to grow further by 9.1\% in 2022 amid continued uncertainties surrounding the economic and business environment.
- Specifically, spending on halal food, pharmaceuticals and cosmetics has increased to US\$1.44 trillion in 2021, and is expected to grow by another $7.0 \%$ in 2022 and will reach US $\$ 1.89$ trillion in 2025, growing by a 4-year CAGR of $7.1 \%$.
- Malaysia has retained the top spot in terms of Islamic economy for the 9th consecutive year, leading in four (4) indicators, i.e. Islamic finance, halal food, travel, as well as media and recreation.
- Nevertheless, Singapore topped the ranking in halal pharmaceuticals and cosmetics in 2021 as a result of solid growth in related products' exports. Singapore also scored favourably in awareness sub-indicator with many educational courses and events carried out across the sectors.
- Malaysian halal exports of foods, pharmaceuticals and cosmetics recorded RM25.4 billion in 2019, making up $63.2 \%$ of total exports of halal products or $3.5 \%$ of total exports.



## Palm-based Bio Products (52.3\%)

- According to the Malaysian Palm Oil Council (MPOC), Malaysia is the world's second largest palm oil producer and exporter, accounted for $25.8 \%$ and $34.3 \%$ of the world's palm oil production and exports in 2020, respectively.
- As a highly saturated nature of palm oil in its characteristics, palm oil and its derivatives can be fractionated for different uses in a wide base of products, from food products to non-food consumer products, such as cosmetics and cleaning products.
- Exports of palm-based bio products have increased gradually at an average growth of 2.1\% per month (Jan 2019 - May 2022). Massive potential development in palm-based bio products is more sustainable compared to animal products, but some issues remain concerned in the EU.
- Malaysia aims to establish a zero-waste industry for palm oil through collaboration with renowned research institutions worldwide to continue exploring new technologies. Malaysia initiated the Malaysian Sustainable Palm Oil Certification with an allocation of RM30 million to encourage the industry's investment in mechanisation and automation in 2021.
- In order to achieve sustainable industry, massive biomass production requires the processing of value-added and transformation, whereby the industry of palm-based bio products has a very important contribution role.



## Fruits (46.8\%)

- According to DOSM, fruits (HS code 08 - including nuts) exports had expanded by an average growth of $17.3 \%$ per annum from RM702 million in 2016 to RM1.6 billion in 2021, of which $61.0 \%$ were fruits and nuts that uncooked or cooked by steaming or boiling in water, or frozen (other than berries) and not specified in detail.
- Amongst the major tropical fruits, durians, watermelons, coconuts (including desiccated coconuts), bananas, pineapples, papayas, guavas, mangoes, and mangosteens have a combined total of RM379.2 million in 2021 or $\mathbf{2 4 . 3}$ \% of total fruit exports.
- The top four exported fruits in terms of weight in 2020 were banana, durian, papaya and pineapples. The top four exported fruits in terms of highest growth rate in 2020 were mango, durian, sweet corn and jackfruit.
- In Budget 2022, the Government has initiated Agrofood Facility (AF) to provide financing for SMEs to increase agri-food production for Malaysia and for exports, with the amount of financing up to RM5 million at a maximum rate of $3.75 \%$ per annum and maximum tenure of 8 years.


## Exports of Fruit by Type

| Tonnes | Banana | Papaya | Pineapples | Durian | Mango | Sweet Corn | Jackfruit |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2016 | 25,009 | 24,470 | 22,456 | 17,705 | 2,561 | 5,670 | 5,021 |
| 2017 | 27,186 | 25,457 | 22,470 | 13,998 | 2,752 | 6,768 | 5,631 |
| 2018 | 24,205 | 18,015 | 19,521 | 23,367 | 3,546 | 6,755 | 6,001 |
| 2019 | 23,950 | 19,020 | 17,850 | 24,981 | 2,881 | 6,919 | 6,193 |
| 2020 | $\mathbf{2 8 , 0 5 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 2 , 4 8 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 , 9 6 0}$ | 24,098 | 4,076 | 6,883 | 5,650 |
| CAGR <br> $(2017-2020)$ | $2.9 \%$ | $-2.1 \%$ | $-8.2 \%$ | $8.0 \%$ | $\mathbf{1 2 . 3 \%}$ | $\mathbf{5 . 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{3 . 0 \%}$ |

Source: DOSM

- Further improvement in agriculture is required to secure current and future food security as well as boost exports. Both the Government and private sector have to accelerate technology assimilation in food and farming to reduce dependence on costly inputs and stimulate food production.
- The Government should also increase arable land for farming as a mere $5.5 \%$ of total planted areas (close to 450,000 hectares) was allocated for fruits, vegetables, herbs and other crops. A 30year lease should be provided on the conditions that farming should be undertaken within a set period. For all existing farmland, extend at least another 30-year leases to encourage re-investment and modernisation.


## Medical Tourism (49.0\%)

- Tourism is an important revenue source for Malaysia, contributing an average share of 6.0\% per annum of national GDP in 2015-2019. Specifically for medical tourism, Malaysia has been awarded the best destination and affordability over the years.
- According to the Malaysia Healthcare Travel Council (MHTC), the healthcare travel industry enjoyed a double-digit average growth of 16.3\% pa in 2015-2019.
- To revitalise the international health tourism industry, the Government has allocated RM20 million to MHTC in 2022. The Government also extended income tax exemption for exports of private healthcare services as well as improved oncology, cardiology and fertility treatment for medical tourism.
- MHTC has adopted a SWOT analysis to identify potential opportunities, of which affordability and high-quality healthcare are the strengths of Malaysia's medical tourism. There are increasing demands for Muslim-friendly healthcare travel and treatment amid the need to improve the wellness sector and overall healthcare travel experience with competition.

Healthcare Travellers' Revenue


Note: $\quad$ Number in parenthesis indicates \% of health traveller revenue.
Health Tourist: Tourists seeking healthcare treatment in Malaysia.
Foreign Patient: Resident Non-Malaysian including Expatriates, Foreign Workers, Foreign Student, etc. Healthcare Traveller: Combination of Health Tourists and Foreign Patients
Source: Malaysia Healthcare Travel Council

- All public and private sector stakeholders within the healthcare travel ecosystem play a vital role in providing a cohesive end-to-end experience, including travel agents, ferry operators, wellness providers, tourist attractions and hotel providers, of which SMEs will act as a linkage across the supply chains. The tourism sector can design a short-term tour package for the patients while performing the health screen test.
- Our interviews with selected respondents indicated that the development of medical tourism offers business prospects as COVID-19 has raised healthcare awareness. In this regard, coordination across the Ministries and agencies is crucial to support medical tourism, for example, among the tourism sector and healthcare providers.
- The extension of a medical visa can be overwhelming and time-consuming, requiring patients to leave and resubmit their applications after staying 30 days. Simplifying the procedures of extending medical visa could attract more demand in choosing Malaysia as the preferred destination through a comprehensive system to keep track of the patient's travel history and provide local assistance.
- The Government should promote medical tourism via medical exhibitions and provide financial assistance and knowledge sharing to support SMEs connecting the industry from outbound tourism to healthcare providers.


## Halal Consultancy Services (42.5\%)

- In aligning with the expansion of halal products, halal consultancy services are crucial to help local and international companies to comply with the halal certification requirement and facilitate the halal certificate applications.
- Notably, the pandemic crisis has spurred innovation in the halal segment, such as a halal-certified COVID-19 detection kit in the UK and a face mask halal-certified in Singapore. It opens up more opportunities to develop halal-certified pharmaceuticals with the assistance of consultancy services.
- RCEP opens up opportunities for halal consultancy services to assist regional businesses in exporting or importing goods and services. Domestically, Malaysia's halal certification and profession are well recognised among the Muslim populations in the world. Regionally, Indonesia's halal product law has made the certification of halal products mandatory, where Malaysia could position itself in tapping into this world's largest Muslim-populated country.


## Food and Restaurant Franchising (38.1\%)

- According to the Ministry of Domestic Trade And Consumer Affairs (KPDNHEP), sales value of franchise companies has increased by 9.7\% to RM14.6 billion in 2020 from RM13.3 billion in 2019. While 1,110 franchises were registered under KPDNHEP, 68 local brands have successfully penetrated 70 countries.
- Amongst the sectors registered as franchises in 2021, food and beverages accounted for $\mathbf{4 7 . 1} \%$, which was the most significant sector that contributed to the overall franchise market over time.
- An allocation of RM5 million for micro franchise and affordable franchises program to develop more affordable franchise packages as well as micro franchise packages for the bottom and middle households (B40 and M40).
- The Government also allocated RM74 million to provide training programs, business guidance, and a simple zero financing scheme for the first six months, together with a moratorium through PERNAS.

In 2021, Malaysian has registered 51 franchises, and they are categorised in accordance with the following sectors:

- Food and Beverages: 24 ( $47.1 \%$ of total)
- Services: 9 (17.6\%)
- Clothing and Accessories: 3 (5.9\%)
- Education and Childcare: 3 (5.9\%)
- Beauty and Healthcare: 3 (5.9\%)
- ICT: 2 (3.9\%)
- Convenience Stores: 2 (3.9\%)
- Others: 5 (9.8\%)


（6） | Sop 3 constraints |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sill economies Lack of technical |
| know－how |

| 只 | Uncompetitive in sourcing of raw | $\mathbf{4 2 . 8 \%}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| materials |  |  |

## What should SMEs do to COMPETE in the global market？

## Top 3 approaches

## － $0-0$

57．0\％ 55．9\％ on ou
Participate in trade fair， trade mission and business networking

Integrating or adapting to new technologies「ご・＇ 53．6\％

Improve the product quality
and after－sale service

| 51．7\％ | Product differentiation and <br> uniqueness |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 47．3\％ | Strategic alliance with local <br> business partners |
| 40．1\％ | Enhance the product design and <br> packaging |
| 36．5\％ | Better practices of ESG |

## What should the government do BETTER to enhance SMEs capability？

| $58.8 \%$ | $56.2 \%$ | $50.9 \%$ | Promote SMEs－FDI linkages |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| ne regulatory burden | Increase the technology <br> base of SMEs | $50.9 \%$ | Design more，appropriate and <br> attractive financing solutions |

46．4\％Provide management and skills training

45．5\％Increase access to markets

41．0\％Provide product and branding development

38．6\％Improve information and dissemination


34．4\％Encourage merger and acquisition（M\＆A）between SMEs

Top 3 constraints

## 56.3\% <br> Small economies of scale

- More than half of total respondents across almost all sectors and size of operations indicated that small economies of scale have constrained SMEs going into the global market.
- SMEs encounter difficulties to compete with competitors as their small economies of scale have hampered them to operate efficiently and cost competitive to expand production in the shortterm.
- Eight out of 12 sectors have more than $50 \%$ of total respondents cited lack of technical know-how as one of their key constraints, especially for professional and business services (55.6\%) and agriculture sector (55.3\%).
- Advanced
agriculture technology can expand the scale, speed, and productivity, leading to a more efficient cultivation.
- However, SMEs may not have technical know-how to utilise the technology amid incurring high capital investment. These have constrained their ability to expand footprint in global marketplaces.
49.8\%



## 43.0\%

Inadequate knowledge about the trade rules and regulations

- Many of them might experience difficulty in understanding the trade rules and regulations: Where to obtain specific and correct market information about the trade rules and regulations, such as the procedure of acquiring the right Certification of Origin (CO) as well as how to fulfil the requirements of specific trade rules and regulations?
- Businesses need to fully understand the trade rules and regulations and keep pace with any changes as any violation of trade rules and regulations could risk losing the market share. For example, the COVID-19 pandemic has required strict adherence to health standards, packaging and handling, and hence, incurring additional costs of prevention.


## What should SMEs do to COMPETE in the global market?

## 57.0\% <br> Participate in trade fair, trade mission and business networking

- Businesses can participate in trade fairs, and trade missions to promote and discover new opportunities for marketing their products and services in both domestic and overseas markets.
- Through participation in trade fairs and trade missions, businesses would obtain feedback from the buyers about their products; build business networking, exchange of and sharing of market knowledge, as well as explore business collaboration with potential business partners.
- This factor is highly cited by the wholesale and retail trade sectors (71.4\%).


## 55.9\%

Integrating or adapting to new technologies

- Integrating or adapting to new technologies was highly rated by the ICT sector (65.8\%) as its business nature is highly influenced by the rapid changing of latest technology development.
- Businesses must keep pace with the newer evolution of global technology development to enhance their competitiveness and increase productivity so as to stay ahead in the competitive edge. This helps to strengthen their capability to rival competitors in the offering of high product quality, better delivery and after-sales services and marketing tools.


## What should the Government do BETTER to enhance SMEs capability?

## Reduce the regulatory burden and compliance costs (58.8\%)

- To better enhance SMEs' capability, the Government should focus on reducing unnecessary regulatory burdens and compliance costs. This would help SMEs to stay focused on their businesses and improve overall productivity, growth and competitiveness.
- Unnecessary and burdensome regulations cause severe economic distortions that lower economic growth or output, dampen productivity, competitiveness and investment, and stifle innovation and entrepreneurship. It is estimated that reducing 25\% of regulatory burden could result in a RM10 billion GDP impact a year (equivalent to 28 new government hospitals).
- Common regulators-to-business pain points: Delays, lack of transparency in the approval process, paperwork burden, duplication (same information given to numerous agencies several times), inconsistency and complexity of processes.
- Therefore, regulatory reform is necessary and should not only be confined to the Federal level but also matters to states and local authorities. Regulatory and compliance costs associated with the states, local authorities and municipal councils have been burdensome to businesses. Their interventions in specific markets and businesses have caused distortions and hidden costs on top of the Federal regulations.


## ACCCIM's suggestions

## Government-to-Business experience

i. Establish a link-up "Join up" government services
ii. Publish negative list for businesses to achieve course correction
iii. New regulations before implementation should be screened by Malaysia Productivity Corporation (MPC) and PEMUDAH to minimise causing unnecessary costs and disruptions to business.
iv.Regulatory Impact Assessment - Adhere to the National Policy on the Development and Implementation of Regulations (NPDIR) for all new proposed regulations or review existing regulations and make greater use of de minimis thresholds to assist SMEs in reducing compliance costs.

## "Regulatory Guillotine" - Bold and top-down sustainable reforms

i. Risk-based approach. Enforcement, inspection, control and supervision as well as "regulatory guillotine" to reduce the types of control / supervisory
ii. "Cost-in, Cost-out" system. Enforce agencies to restrict the increase of the costs of newly introduced or reinforced regulations by abolishing or relaxing regulations that carry an equal or more amount of costs.


## What should the Government do BETTER to enhance SMEs capability? (cont.)

## Increase the technology base of SMEs (56.2\%)

- Technology advancement brings significant benefits for businesses. Digitalisation and smart technology reduce business transaction costs by providing better and quicker access to reliable market information and enhancing communication flows between employees, suppliers and networks.


## OECD Economic Survey of Malaysia (August 2021):

- SMEs' digital uptake is much lower than that of large firms, although it has accelerated during the pandemic.
- Many SMEs, especially micro-sized firms, do not use computers and the Internet.
- Most SMEs do not make transactions through e-commerce, which has been a big hurdle during Movement Control Order (MCO).
- SMEs tend to be slow in adopting these new digital tools in Malaysia.

| IMD's World Digital Competitiveness Ranking |  | Huawei Global Connectivity Index |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2020 | 2021 | 2019 | 2020 |
| $26^{\text {th }}$ | $27^{\text {th }}$ | $30^{\text {th }}$ | $34^{\text {th }}$ |

## ACCCIM's suggestions

i. Subsidize SMEs to install smart set-top boxes and link their equipment to the Internet, which could help firms move quickly towards smart management and production.
ii. Malaysia Productivity Corporation (MPC) can consider establishing a division called "Technical Service Division", which serves as one-stop enterprise R\&D innovation services to provide assistance and technical advisory services to businesses.
iii. Support training on technology, R\&D and innovation; establish innovation and technology centres/co-operation; promote and develop inter-firm clusters and networks.
iv. Establish a close link between industrial research centres, universities and business incubators.
v. Introduce an Innovation Voucher Scheme to connect SMEs to public research/industrial institutions.
vi. Implement a Risk-Sharing Guarantee Program, which provides risk-sharing guarantee for companies that show willingness to participate in the projects in innovating their business model. The risk-sharing creates a psychological comfort that a company will not be hurt even if it fails.


## What should the Government do BETTER to enhance SMEs capability? (cont.)

## Promote (50.9\%) <br> SMEs-FDI <br> linkages <br> 路

(3)Design more, appropriate and attractive financing solutions (50.9\%)

- SMEs are the backbone of the Malaysian economy, which accounts for $97.2 \%$ of the total number of business establishments in Malaysia in 2021.
- SMEs-FDI linkages provide potential gains such as increased local market opportunities, benefiting from new technology, facilitating their access to capital and increasing possibility of expanding their business globally.


## ACCCIM's suggestions

Provision of information - Government can act as facilitator by gathering and disseminating information on linkages opportunities via national websites, business directories, 'meet-the-buyer' events, and matching making.
$\checkmark$ Targeting suppliers on the basis of proven abilities and commitment for future improvements.
$\checkmark$ Close engagements with domestic corporations and MNCs - Identify areas and opportunities for suppliers; provide feedback on SMEs' weaknesses.
$\checkmark$ Tailored programs assist SMEs/suppliers to identify their needs and enhance their capability.

## $\checkmark$ Monetary incentives to participate in SMEsFDI linkages program

i. Singapore: Contributing to wage costs of engineers and managers in MNCs who have devoted time to supplier upgrading.
ii. Ireland: Cash grants to promising suppliers to help with initial investment costs.
iii. Chinese Taipei: Subsidized training and consultancy necessary for enhancing suppliers' capability.

- Bankability of SMEs has always been a major contentious issue due to lack of proper documentation and financial statement. According to the NCCIM's Survey on Domestic Direct Investment (DDI), 52.3\% of SMEs' respondents are facing issues/problems when applying for working capital loans.
- There is a lack of information available on financing options or sources of funds to meet their needs; poor understanding among lenders of the financing requirements of SMEs; rigid, onerous and long financing applications processes without any guidance provided by the lenders; lack of understanding among lenders of the growth potential and opportunities in enterprises' business; and inadequate advisory services provided by financial institutions to assist SMEs in obtaining financing.


## ACCCIM's suggestions

i. Broadening the financing options available and accessible to SMEs:

- Better understanding of business and financing needs of SMEs
ii. BNM to create a centralised system for SMEs to synchronise loan applications whilst they are applying to financial institutions. This helps BNM to monitor and capture SMEs' real financing conditions and make SMEs' financing requirements more transparent.

|  | Size of enterprise | Criteria | Manufacturing sector | Services and other sectors |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Large enterprise |  | Sales turnover | Above RM50 million $\underline{\text { OR }}$ | Above RM20 million $\underline{\text { OR }}$ |
|  |  | Number of full-time employees | Above 200 | Above 75 |
| $\sum_{\infty}^{\omega}$ | Medium enterprise | Sales turnover | RM15 million to RM50 million $\underline{\mathrm{OR}}$ | RM3 million to RM20 million $\underline{\text { OR }}$ |
|  |  | Number of full-time employees | 75 to 200 | 30 to 75 |
|  | Small enterprise | Sales turnover | RM300,000 to less than RM15 million $\underline{\text { OR }}$ | RM300,000 to less than RM3 million $\underline{\text { OR }}$ |
|  |  | Number of full-time employees | 5 to less than 75 | 5 to less than 30 |
|  | enterprise | Sales turnover | Below RM300,000 OR | Below RM300,000 OR |
|  |  | Number of full-time employees | Less than 5 | Less than 5 |

Respondents' profile: Annual turnover and number of employees by major sectors:

|  | 6. Primary | (3) Manufacturing © Construction Services |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Annual turnover: \$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than RM300k | 27.3\% | 7.1\% | 3.8\% | 21.1\% | 16.6\% |
| RM300k to < RM3mil | 29.1\% | 19.6\% | 36.8\% | 38.5\% | 34.0\% |
| RM3mil to < RM15mil | 21.8\% | 29.8\% | 26.4\% | 23.0\% | 24.7\% |
| RM15mil to < RM20mil | 7.3\% | 9.5\% | 7.5\% | 4.3\% | 5.9\% |
| RM20mil to $\leq$ RM50mil | 5.5\% | 17.3\% | 15.1\% | 5.7\% | 9.1\% |
| More than RM50mil | 9.1\% | 16.7\% | 10.4\% | 7.4\% | 9.7\% |
| Number of full-time employees: ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than 5 | 36.4\% | 9.5\% | 9.4\% | 33.9\% | 26.3\% |
| 5 to < 30 | 34.5\% | 28.6\% | 63.2\% | 45.8\% | 43.8\% |
| 30 to $<75$ | 16.4\% | 25.0\% | 17.9\% | 10.9\% | 14.9\% |
| 75 to $\leq 200$ | 5.5\% | 19.6\% | 6.6\% | 4.9\% | 8.0\% |
| More than 200 | 7.3\% | 17.3\% | 2.8\% | 4.5\% | 7.0\% |

$\square$

## Malaysia＇s Business and Economic Conditions Survey （M－BECS）

This is a survey jointly conducted by The Associated Chinese Chambers of Commerce and Industry of Malaysia（ACCCIM）and Socio－Economic Research Centre（SERC）on Malaysia＇s business and economic conditions in the first half－year of 2022 （1H 2022：Jan－Jun 2022）and prospects for the second half－year of 2022 （2H 2022：Jul－Dec 2022）and beyond．

The survey results will be used as an input to prepare memoranda concerning economic and industry issues，including public polices impacting the business community for submission to the Government and relevant Ministries for their consideration．ALL INFORMATION WILL BE TREATED IN THE STRICTEST CONFIDENTIAL．

We seek your kind cooperation to return the duly completed questionnaire to ACCCIM Secretariat by 30 June 2022 （Email：socio－economic＠acccim．org．my／Fax：03－4260 3080）．Thank you for your support and cooperation．

## SECTION A：BUSINESS BACKGROUND

| A1． |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Terengganu CCCI KLSCCCI |  | Federation of Chinese Physicians and Medicine Dealers Associations of Malaysia |
|  | Negeri Sembilan CCCI |  | Malaysian Wood Industries Association |
|  | Sabah UCCC |  | Malaysian Textile Manufacturers Association |
|  | ${ }_{5}$ Penang CCC |  | Malaysia Mobile Content Provider Association |
|  | Malacca CCCI |  | Malaysian Furniture Council |
|  | ACCCI Sarawak |  | Federation of Goldsmith and Jewellers Association of Malaysia |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Perak CCCI } \\ & \text { Klang CCCI } \end{aligned}$ |  | The Federation of Malaysia Hardware，Machinery \＆Building Materials Dealers＇Association |
|  | ${ }_{10}$ Kelantan CCC |  | Malaysia Fujian Chamber of Commerce and Industry |
|  | ，ACCCI Pahang |  | Pawnbroker＇s Association of Malaysia |
|  | 12 Johor ACCCI |  | Malaysia Retailers Association |
|  | ${ }_{13}$ CCC Batu Pahat |  | Malaysian Association of Convention \＆Exhibition Organisers \＆ Suppliers |
|  | ${ }_{14}$ Kedah CCCI |  | Suppliers |
|  | ${ }_{15}$ Kluang CCCI |  | Malaysia Teochew Chamber of Commerce |
|  | ${ }_{10}$ North Perak CCCI |  | Malaysian Photovoltaic Industry Association |
|  | ${ }_{17}$ Perlis CCCI |  | Malaysian Nail Technicians \＆Make Up Association |
|  | $\square_{37}$ Others，please specify： |  | Malaysian Hairdressing Association |
|  |  |  | Automotive Accessories Traders Association of Malaysia |
|  |  |  | Malaysia Guangxi Chamber of Commerce |
|  |  |  | Persatuan Anggun Menawan Malaysia |
|  |  |  | Malaysian Wood Moulding \＆Joinery Council |

A2. Type of principal industry or sub-sector: [Please select only ONE (1)]


A3. Annual turnover:
Less than RM300k
RM300k to < RM3mil
RM3mil to < RM15mil
. RM15mil to < RM20mil
, RM20mil to $\leq$ RM50milMore than RM50mil
A4. Number of full-time employees:

| Less than 5 |
| :---: |
| 5 to < 30 |
| 30 to < 75 |
| 75 to $\leq 200$ |
| More than 200 |

A5. Please indicate the share of total sales generated from overseas market:


A6. Please indicate the share of foreign employees to total employees:


SECTION B: OVERALL ASSESSMENT


B3. How much your business sales have recovered when comparing to pre-pandemic level?

| $\square$ | More than $30 \%$ higher |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\square$, | $10-30 \%$ higher |
| $\square$, | About the same as per pre-pandemic level |
| $\square$ | $10-30 \%$ below |
| $\square$, | $31-50 \%$ below |
| $\square$. | More than $50 \%$ below |

B4. Which of the following factors may adversely affect your business performance in $\mathbf{1 H} \mathbf{2 0 2 2}$ ?
[Please select at least THREE (3)]

$\square$ Declining business and consumer sentiment Political climate Lower external demand Lower domestic demand Increase in bad debt and delay payments ESG complianceDigital disruptionDifficult to secure financingAvailability of skilled labourThe Ringgit's fluctuation
B5. Performance and Forecast

Note: N/A=Not Applicable $N / R=$ Not Relevant

## B5.1 Overall

i. Business conditions
ii. Cash flows conditions
iii. Debtors' conditions
iv. Capacity utilization level
$\diamond N / A$ or $N / R$
v. Overall sales revenue

Current Performance
Actual for 1H 2022 (Jan-Jun) compared to 2H 2021 (Jul-Dec) Better Neutral Worse


| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Forecast } \\ & \text { Expectation for } 2 \mathrm{H} 2022 \text { (Jul-Dec) } \\ & \text { compared to 1H } 2022 \text { (Jan-Jun) } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |
| Better | Neutral | Worse |
| $\square$ | $\square$ | $\square$ |
| $\square$ | $\square$ | $\square$ |
| $\square$ | $\square$ | $\square$ |
| $\square$ Less than 50\% |  |  |
| $\square 50 \%$ to < $75 \%$ |  |  |
| $\square 75 \%$ to $\leq 90 \%$ |  |  |
| $\square$ More than 90\% |  |  |
| Increase | Unchanged | Decrease |
| $\square 1-15 \%$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\square 1-15 \%$ |
| $\square 16-30 \%$ |  | $\square 16-30 \%$ |
| $\square>30 \%$ |  | $\square>$ | > 30\%


| (B5 Cont.) <br> Note: N/A=Not Applicable N/R=Not Relevant | Current Performance <br> Actual for 1H 2022 (Jan-Jun) compared to 2H 2021 (Jul-Dec) |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Forecast } \\ & \text { Expectation for 2H } 2022 \text { (Jul-Dec) } \\ & \text { compared to 1H } 2022 \text { (Jan-Jun) } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B5.2 Domestic <br> i. Sales revenue $\diamond N / A$ or N/R | Increase 1-15\% 16-30\% > 30\% | Unchanged | Decrease 1-15\% 16-30\% > 30\% | Increase 1-15\% 16-30\% > 30\% | Unchanged | Decrease 1-15\% 16-30\% $>30 \%$ |
| ii. Price level <br> $\diamond N / A$ or $N / R$ | 1-15\% $16-30 \%$ > 30\% |  | 1-15\% 16-30\% > 30\% | 1-15\% 16-30\% > 30\% |  | 1-15\% 16-30\% > 30\% |
| B5.3 Foreign <br> i. Sales revenue $\diamond N / A$ or $N / R$ | Increase 1-15\% 16-30\% > 30\% | Unchanged | Decrease 1-15\% 16-30\% > 30\% | Increase 1-15\% 16-30\% > 30\% | Unchanged | Decrease 1-15\% 16-30\% > 30\% |
| ii. Price level <br> $\diamond N / A$ or $N / R$ | 1-15\% $16-30 \%$ > 30\% |  | 1-15\% 16-30\% > 30\% | 1-15\% 16-30\% > 30\% | $\bigcirc$ | 1-15\% 16-30\% > 30\% |
| B5.4 Business operations <br> i. Production volume $\Delta N / A$ or $N / R$ | Increase 1-15\% 16-30\% $>30 \%$ | Unchanged | Decrease 1-15\% 16-30\% > 30\% | Increase 1-15\% 16-30\% > 30\% | Unchanged | Decrease 1-15\% 16-30\% > 30\% |
| ii. Inventory or stock level <br> $\diamond N / A$ or $N / R$ | $\begin{aligned} & \square 1-15 \% \\ & \square 16-30 \% \\ & \square>30 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\bigcirc$ | 1-15\% 16-30\% >30\% | 1-15\% 16-30\% > 30\% | $\bigcirc$ | 1-15\% 16-30\% $>30 \%$ |
| B5.5 Cost of raw materials <br> i. Local <br> $\diamond N / A$ or $N / R$ | Increase 1-5\% 6-10\% > 10\% | Unchanged ○ | Decrease 1-5\% 6-10\% > 10\% | Increase 1-5\% 6-10\% $>10 \%$ | Unchanged ○ | Decrease 1-5\% 6-10\% > 10\% |
| ii. Imported <br> $\diamond N / A$ or $N / R$ | 1-5\% 6-10\% $>10 \%$ | $\bigcirc$ | 1-5\% 6-10\% > 10\% | 1-5\% 6-10\% $>10 \%$ | $\bigcirc$ | 1-5\% 6-10\% > 10\% |
| B5.6 Manpower <br> i. Number of employees | Increase 1-5 6-10 $>10$ | Unchanged | Decrease 1-5 6-10 $>10$ | Increase 1-5 6-10 $>10$ | Unchanged | Decrease 1-5 6-10 $>10$ |
| ii. Wage growth | $\begin{aligned} & \square 1-5 \% \\ & \square 6-10 \% \\ & \square>10 \% \end{aligned}$ |  | ㅁ 1-5\% 6-10\% > 10\% | 1-5\% 6-10\% > 10\% | $\bigcirc$ | 1-5\% 6-10\% > 10\% |
| B5.7 Others <br> i. Capital expenditure $\diamond N / A$ or $N / R$ | Increase 1-15\% 16-30\% > 30\% | Unchanged | Decrease 1-15\% 16-30\% > 30\% | Increase 1-15\% 16-30\% > 30\% | Unchanged | Decrease 1-15\% 16-30\% > 30\% |

## SECTION C: CURRENT ISSUE

"RCEP: Tapping SMEs' Potential to Go Global"
C1. Please rate the following best describes about your current stage of business development in Malaysia.Start-up stage
Growth stage
Expansion stage
Maturity stage
, Decline stage
C2. Please rate the following best describes about the current stage of industrial revolution for Malaysia's manufacturing sector.


IR1.0 (Mechanization, steam and water power)
IR2.0 (Mass production and electricity)
IR3.0 (Electronic and IT systems, automation)
IR4.0 (Cyber physical systems)
C3. What are the strengths of Malaysian SMEs? (multiple-choice)

| $\square$ Cost and price competitive |
| :---: |
| High value-added products |
| Leverage on rich domestic natural resources |
| Ample fiscal and financial support |
| . Adjust to market trend and demand |
| Risk taker |
| High level of automation and digital adoption |
| . Sustainable business model |
| . High productivity |
| $\square$ In Innovative and creative |
| ${ }_{11}$ Competitive labour force |
| $\square$ Others, please specify: |
| None of the above |

C4. What are the weaknesses of the Malaysian SMEs? (multiple-choice)
Over dependency on imported inputs
Low-skilled technology
Low value-added products
Lack of innovation and creativity
Not focus on long-term planning
Lack

C5. What are the opportunities for the Malaysian SMEs? (multiple-choice)Greater market access via free trade agreements
Collaboration with foreign parties for market expansion
Adopt e-commerce platform to expand sales
Integrate into global value chains via strong linkage with FDI
Others, please specify: $\qquad$

C6. What are the threats/challenges faced by the Malaysian SMEs? (multiple-choice)
$\square$ Competing market share from both domestic and external Slowness in embracing ESGIntegrating or adapting to new technologies
Inadequate skills
Regulatory burden and compliance costs
Lack of infrastructure supportDifficult to obtain finance
Lack of market information and familiarisation of trade rulesOthers, please specify: $\qquad$None of the above
C7. What are the constraints faced by local SMEs to go into the global market? (multiple-choice)Lack of technical know-how
Inadequate export marketing skill
Non-tariff barriers (NTB)
Barriers to access market and product information
Obtaining finance
Inadequate knowledge about the trade rules and regulations
Small economic of scale
. Uncompetitive in sourcing of raw materials
Others, please specify: $\qquad$
None of the above
C8. What should SMEs do to compete in the global market? (multiple-choice)Improve the product quality and after-sale service
Product differentiation and uniqueness
Enhance the product design and packaging
Better practices of ESG
Integrating or adapting to new technologies
Participate in trade fair, trade mission and business networking
Strategic alliance with local business partners
. Others, please specify: $\qquad$
$\square$ None of the above

C9. What should the government do better to enhance SMEs capability? (multiple-choice)Promote SMEs-FDI linkages
Design more, appropriate and attractive financing solutions
. Encourage merger and acquisition (M\&A) between SMEs
Reduce the regulatory burden and compliance costs
Provide management and skills training
Provide product and branding development

,
Improve information and dissemination
Increase access to markets
Increase the technology base of SMEs (R\&D tax credits, loans or grants for innovative activities, and technology diffusion schemes)
$\square{ }^{10}$ Others, please specify: $\qquad$
C10. Please tick ( $\checkmark$ ) the following products that SMEs have potential to go into global market (multiple-choice)


|  | Canned products |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | Halal products |
|  | Nutritional supplements |
|  | Palm-based bio products |
|  | Ceramic products |
| ${ }_{10}$ | Cosmetic products |
|  | Biomedical products |
|  | Ornamental fish |
|  | Sport equipment |
|  | Others, please specify: |

C11. Please tick ( $\checkmark$ ) the following services that SMEs have potential to go into global market (multiple-choice)
$\square$, Software design
$\square$ Programming
$\square$ Engineering services
$\square$ Medical tourismSmartphone gaming appsIndustrial design activities
Education
$\square$ Acupuncture services
$\square$ Accounting services

C12. We would like to conduct face-to-face interview via zoom meeting to gauge
local player's viewpoint on how to interview via zoom meeting to gauge
local player's viewpoint on how to accelerate SMEs' participation in the global market. Are you willing to become one of the interviewees?

$\square$ Maintenance, repair and overhaul (MRO)
${ }_{4}$. Entertainment post-production activities
$\square$ Food and restaurant franchising
$\square_{1}$ Graphic design
${ }_{10}$ Fashion design services
$\square$, Data processing activities
$\square$ Halal consultancy services
$\square$ Others, please specify:


| MALAYSIA'S BUSINESS AND ECONOMIC CONDITIONS SURVEY (M-BECS) RESULTS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| FOR THE 1ST HALF-YEAR OF 2022 (JAN-JUN 2022) AND OUTLOOK FOR THE 2ND HALF-YEAR OF 2022 (JUL-DEC 2022) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\underline{\square}$ |  |
| Section A: Business Background |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A Stize of business operations |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | SME | 89.6\% | 85.7\% | 84.5\% | 92.5\% | 90.5\% | 97.5\% | 98.3\% | 90.9\% | 97.8\% | 91.1\% | 91.2\% | 94.7\% | 91.7\% |
|  | Large enterprise | 10.4\% | 14.3\% | 15.5\% | 7.5\% | 9.5\% | 2.5\% | 1.7\% | 9.1\% | 2.2\% | 8.9\% | 8.8\% | 5.3\% | 8.3\% |
|  | Sample size (n) | 48 | 7 | 168 | 106 | 147 | 40 | 59 | 33 | 135 | 45 | 34 | 38 | 860 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A5 ${ }^{\text {A }}$ Market orientation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 100\% sales from domestic maket | 60.9\% | 71.4\% | 22.\% | 78.3\% | 68.7\% | 12.5\% | 54.2\% | 30.3\% | 57.0\% | 60.0\% | 73.5\% | 60.5\% | 52.9\% |
|  | 75\%.99\% sales from domestic makret | 26.1\% | 14.3\% | 39.9\% | 16.0\% | 22.4\% | 42.5\% | 35.6\% | 27.3\% | 31.1\% | 22.2\% | 17.6\% | 31.6\% | 28.8\% |
|  | $50 \% 74 \%$ sales from domestic maket | 10.9\% | 0.0\% | 11.3\% | 2.8\% | 4.8\% | 25.0\% | 3.4\% | 24.2\% | 7.4\% | 11.1\% | 5.9\% | 2.6\% | 8.4\% |
|  | 25\%-49\% sales from domestic maket | 2.2\% | 14.3\% | 12.5\% | 1.9\% | 1.4\% | 7.5\% | 5.1\% | 15.2\% | 1.5\% | 2.2\% | 2.9\% | 2.6\% | 5.0\% |
|  | 1\% $24 \%$ sales from domestic market | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 8.9\% | 0.0\% | 1.4\% | 12.5\% | 1.7\% | 3.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.2\% | 0.0\% | 2.6\% | 3.0\% |
|  | 100\% sales from overseas market | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 4.8\% | 0.9\% | 1.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 3.0\% | 2.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.9\% |
|  | Sample size (n) | 46 | 7 | 168 | 106 | 147 | 40 | 59 | 33 | 135 | 45 | 34 | 38 | 858 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A6 Share of total employees |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 100\% local employees | 26.1\% | 57.1\% | 20.8\% | 45.3\% | 80.1\% | 62.5\% | 52.5\% | 63.6\% | 86.7\% | 73.3\% | 79.4\% | 78.9\% | 58.3\% |
|  | 75\%-99\% local employes | 32.6\% | 14.3\% | 31.0\% | 17.0\% | 16.4\% | 32.5\% | 20.3\% | 24.2\% | 6.7\% | 13.3\% | 0.0\% | 15.8\% | 19.1\% |
|  | 50\%-74\% local employes | 15.2\% | 14.3\% | 24.4\% | 20.8\% | 2.1\% | 0.0\% | 18.6\% | 12.1\% | 2.2\% | 2.2\% | 14.7\% | 2.6\% | 11.6\% |
|  | 25\%-49\% local employes | 10.9\% | 14.3\% | 16.7\% | 13.2\% | 1.4\% | 5.0\% | 5.1\% | 0.0\% | 3.7\% | 4.4\% | 2.9\% | 0.0\% | 7.4\% |
|  | 1\%-24\% local employees | 13.0\% | 0.0\% | 7.1\% | 3.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.7\% | 2.2\% | 0.0\% | 2.6\% | 3.0\% |
|  | 100\% forieign employees | 2.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 4.4\% | 2.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.6\% |
|  | Sample size (n) | 46 | 7 | 168 | 106 | 146 | 40 | 59 | 33 | 135 | 45 | 34 | 38 | 857 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Section B: Overall Assessment |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| B1 Economic conditions and prospects <br>  $1 H 2022$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Better | 4.2\% | 0.0\% | 16.9\% | 12.4\% | 22.4\% | 5.3\% | 13.6\% | 15.2\% | 14.1\% | 8.9\% | 23.5\% | 10.5\% | 14.7\% |
|  | Neutral | 79.2\% | 57.1\% | 70.5\% | 75.2\% | 65.3\% | 63.2\% | 69.5\% | 66.7\% | 74.1\% | 73.3\% | 61.8\% | 71.1\% | 70.4\% |
|  | Worse | 16.7\% | 42.9\% | 12.7\% | 12.4\% | 12.2\% | 31.6\% | 16.9\% | 18.2\% | 11.9\% | 17.8\% | 14.7\% | 18.4\% | 14.9\% |
|  | Sample size (n) | 48 | 7 | 166 | 105 | 147 | 38 | 59 | 33 | 135 | 45 | 34 | 38 | 855 |
| 2 H 2022 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Better | 20.8\% | 28.6\% | 21.1\% | 19.8\% | 29.0\% | 16.2\% | 27.1\% | 27.3\% | 26.7\% | 24.4\% | 44.1\% | 26.3\% | 25.0\% |
|  | Neutral | 64.6\% | 42.9\% | 54.2\% | 60.4\% | 55.9\% | 62.2\% | 59.3\% | 42.4\% | 57.0\% | 57.8\% | 41.2\% | 63.2\% | 56.5\% |
|  | Worse | 14.6\% | 28.6\% | 24.7\% | 19.8\% | 15.2\% | 21.6\% | 13.6\% | 30.3\% | 16.3\% | 17.\% | 14.7\% | 10.5\% | 18.5\% |
|  | Sample size (n) | 48 | 7 | 166 | 106 | 145 | 37 | 59 | 33 | 135 | 45 | 34 | 38 | 853 |
| ${ }^{14} 2023$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Better | 27.1\% | 42.9\% | 27.7\% | 314\% | 37.9\% | 19.4\% | 37.3\% | 27.3\% | 37.8\% | 46.7\% | 52.9\% | 28.9\% | 34.0\% |
|  | Neutral | 62.5\% | 57.1\% | 56.6\% | 58.1\% | 49.0\% | 63.9\% | 50.8\% | 57.6\% | 53.3\% | 44.4\% | 35.3\% | 65.8\% | 54.2\% |
|  | Worse | 10.4\% | 0.0\% | 15.7\% | 10.5\% | 13.1\% | 16.7\% | 11.9\% | 15.2\% | 8.9\% | 8.9\% | 11.8\% | 5.3\% | 11.9\% |
|  | Sample size (n) | 48 | 7 | 166 | 105 | 145 | 36 | 59 | 33 | 135 | 45 | 34 | 38 | 851 |
| Estimation for 2022 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Better | 8.3\% | 14.3\% | 17.3\% | 15.2\% | 25.5\% | 10.5\% | 18.6\% | 18.2\% | 24.4\% | 24.4\% | 44.1\% | 21.1\% | 20.5\% |
|  | Neutral | 79.2\% | 42.9\% | 63.7\% | 72.4\% | 62.8\% | 73.7\% | 67.8\% | 57.6\% | 64.4\% | 64.4\% | 38.2\% | 65.8\% | 65.0\% |
|  | Worse | 12.5\% | 42.9\% | 19.0\% | 12.4\% | 11.7\% | 15.8\% | 13.6\% | 24.2\% | 11.1\% | 11.1\% | 17.6\% | 13.2\% | 14.5\% |
|  | Sample size (n) | 48 | 7 | 168 | 105 | 145 | 38 | 59 | 33 | 135 | 45 | 34 | 38 | 855 |
| Forecast for 2023 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Better | 31.3\% | 28.6\% | 36.9\% | 38.1\% | 40.0\% | 27.0\% | 44.1\% | 36.4\% | 43.7\% | 51.1\% | 58.8\% | 34.2\% | 39.8\% |
|  | Neutral | 60.4\% | 57.1\% | 50.0\% | 47.6\% | 46.9\% | 54.1\% | 44.1\% | 45.5\% | 45.2\% | 40.0\% | 29.4\% | 57.9\% | 47.7\% |
|  | Worse | 8.3\% | 14.3\% | 13.1\% | 14.3\% | 13.1\% | 18.9\% | 11.9\% | 18.2\% | 11.1\% | 8.9\% | 11.8\% | 7.9\% | 12.5\% |
|  | Sample size (n) | 48 | 7 | 168 | 105 | 145 | 37 | 59 | 33 | 135 | 45 | 34 | 38 | 854 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| B2 2 Business conditions and prospects |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $1{ }^{1} 2022$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Better | 4.2\% | 0.0\% | 18.7\% | 17.3\% | 22.8\% | 11.1\% | 18.6\% | 12.1\% | 18.5\% | 13.3\% | 20.6\% | 13.2\% | 17.2\% |
|  | Neutral | 72.9\% | 57.1\% | 63.9\% | 69.2\% | 66.9\% | 55.6\% | 67.8\% | 75.8\% | 71.1\% | 62.2\% | 67.6\% | 68.4\% | 67.3\% |
|  | Worse | 22.9\% | 42.9\% | 17.5\% | 13.5\% | 10.3\% | 33.3\% | 13.6\% | 12.1\% | 10.4\% | 24.4\% | 11.8\% | 18.4\% | 15.5\% |
|  | Sample size (n) | 48 | 7 | 166 | 104 | 145 | 36 | 59 | 33 | 135 | 45 | 34 | 38 | 850 |
| 2 L 2022 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Better | 16.7\% | 28.6\% | 19.9\% | 25.0\% | 34.0\% | 17.1\% | 28.8\% | 30.3\% | 28.9\% | 22.2\% | 35.3\% | 23.7\% | 26.1\% |
|  | Neutral | 70.8\% | 57.1\% | 60.8\% | 58.7\% | 52.8\% | 68.6\% | 61.0\% | 42.4\% | 55.6\% | 66.7\% | 52.9\% | 68.4\% | 58.8\% |
|  | Worse | 12.5\% | 14.3\% | 19.3\% | 16.3\% | 13.2\% | 14.3\% | 10.2\% | 27.3\% | 15.6\% | 11.1\% | 11.8\% | 7.9\% | 15.1\% |
|  | Sample size (n) | 48 | 7 | 166 | 104 | 144 | 35 | 59 | 33 | 135 | 45 | 34 | 38 | 848 |
| ${ }^{1} 2023$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Better | 27.1\% | 28.6\% | 29.5\% | 32.0\% | 36.8\% | 14.7\% | 40.7\% | 27.3\% | 40.0\% | 40.0\% | 50.0\% | 28.9\% | 34.0\% |
|  | Neutral | 64.6\% | 71.4\% | 56.6\% | 57.3\% | 50.0\% | 64.7\% | 49.2\% | 57.6\% | 51.9\% | 53.3\% | 38.2\% | 65.8\% | 54.7\% |
|  | Worse | 8.3\% | 0.0\% | 13.9\% | 10.7\% | 13.2\% | 20.6\% | 10.2\% | 15.2\% | 8.1\% | 6.7\% | 11.8\% | 5.3\% | 11.2\% |
|  | Sample size (n) | 48 | 7 | 166 | 103 | 144 | 34 | 59 | 33 | 135 | 45 | 34 | 38 | 846 |
| Estimation for 2022 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Beter | 6.3\% | 14.3\% | 17.5\% | 18.4\% | 25.0\% | 10.8\% | 20.3\% | 24.2\% | 26.7\% | 26.7\% | 32.4\% | 23.7\% | 21.2\% |
|  | Neutral | 81.3\% | 42.9\% | 65.7\% | 67.0\% | 63.2\% | 67.6\% | 67.8\% | 57.6\% | 62.2\% | 62.2\% | 58.8\% | 65.8\% | 65.0\% |
|  | Worse | 12.5\% | 42.9\% | 16.9\% | 14.6\% | 11.8\% | 21.6\% | 11.9\% | 18.2\% | 11.1\% | 11.1\% | 8.8\% | 10.5\% | 13.8\% |
|  | Sample size (n) | 48 | 7 | 166 | 103 | 144 | 37 | 59 | 33 | 135 | 45 | 34 | 38 | 849 |
| Forecast for 2023 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Better | 27.1\% | 14.3\% | 37.3\% | 40.8\% | 38.9\% | 22.9\% | 47.5\% | 33.3\% | 42.2\% | 51.1\% | 55.9\% | 39.5\% | 39.6\% |
|  | Neutral | 62.5\% | 85.7\% | 48.2\% | 46.6\% | 46.5\% | 60.0\% | 37.3\% | 51.5\% | 48.9\% | 37.8\% | 32.4\% | 52.6\% | 47.8\% |
|  | Worse | 10.4\% | 0.0\% | 14.5\% | 12.6\% | 14.6\% | 17.1\% | 15.3\% | 15.2\% | 8.9\% | 11.1\% | 11.8\% | 7.9\% | 12.6\% |
|  | Sample size (n) | 48 | 7 | 166 | 103 | 144 | 35 | 59 | 33 | 135 | 45 | 34 | 38 | 847 |



| MALAYSIA'S BUSINESS AND ECONOMIC CONDITIONS SURVEY (M-BECS) RESULTS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| FOR THE 1ST HALF-YEAR OF 2022 (JAN-JUN 2022) AND OUTLOOK FOR THE 2ND HALF-YEAR OF 2022 (JUL-DEC 2022) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\underline{\square}$ | 尔 |
| 11 Foreign |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| i | Sales revenue |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Increased 1\%-15\% | 31.3\% | 0.0\% | 31.4\% | 26.3\% | 33.3\% | 19.4\% | 11.1\% | 35.0\% | 8.8\% | 7.7\% | 20.0\% | 7.7\% | 24.7\% |
|  | Increased $16 \%$-30\% | 12.5\% | 0.0\% | 14.0\% | 15.8\% | 3.0\% | 6.5\% | 27.8\% | 10.0\% | 14.7\% | 23.1\% | 0.0\% | 23.1\% | 13.3\% |
|  | Increased $>30 \%$ | 6.3\% | 100.0\% | 2.5\% | 0.0\% | 3.0\% | 3.2\% | 0.0\% | 5.0\% | 5.9\% | 15.4\% | 20.0\% | 7.7\% | 4.3\% |
|  | Unchanged | 31.3\% | 0.0\% | 24.0\% | 36.8\% | 42.4\% | 25.8\% | 38.9\% | 15.0\% | 35.3\% | 23.1\% | 40.0\% | 53.8\% | 29.9\% |
|  | Decreased 1\%-15\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 15.7\% | 5.3\% | 15.2\% | 19.4\% | 5.6\% | 5.0\% | 17.6\% | 30.8\% | 20.0\% | 0.0\% | 13.6\% |
|  | Decreased 16\% $30 \%$ | 12.5\% | 0.0\% | 4.1\% | 10.5\% | 0.0\% | 9.7\% | 5.6\% | 20.0\% | 5.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 7.7\% | 6.2\% |
|  | Decreased $30 \%$ | 6.3\% | 0.0\% | 8.3\% | 5.3\% | 3.0\% | 16.1\% | 11.1\% | 10.0\% | 11.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 8.0\% |
|  | Sample size (n) | 16 | 1 | 121 | 19 | 33 | 31 | 18 | 20 | 34 | 13 | 5 | 13 | 324 |
| ii | Price level |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Increased 1\%-15\% | 25.0\% | 0.0\% | 31.9\% | 33.3\% | 28.1\% | 25.9\% | 28.6\% | 30.0\% | 23.3\% | 30.8\% | 20.0\% | 7.7\% | 28.2\% |
|  | Increased 16\%.30\% | 31.3\% | 100.0\% | 19.8\% | 5.6\% | 21.9\% | 14.8\% | 14.3\% | 25.0\% | 6.7\% | 15.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 17.0\% |
|  | Increased $>30 \%$ | 6.3\% | 0.0\% | 10.3\% | 11.1\% | 3.1\% | 29.6\% | 14.3\% | 25.0\% | 10.0\% | 15.4\% | 20.0\% | 15.4\% | 12.8\% |
|  | Unchanged | 31.3\% | 0.0\% | 30.2\% | 22.\% | 37.5\% | 14.8\% | 33.3\% | 15.0\% | 46.7\% | 30.8\% | 60.0\% | 76.9\% | 32.4\% |
|  | Decreased 1\%-15\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 4.3\% | 5.6\% | 6.3\% | 0.0\% | 4.8\% | 0.0\% | 13.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 4.2\% |
|  | Decreased 16\% $30 \%$ | 6.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.9\% | 16.7\% | 3.1\% | 7.4\% | 0.0\% | 5.0\% | 0.0\% | 7.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 3.2\% |
|  | Decreased $>30 \%$ | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.6\% | 5.6\% | 0.0\% | 7.4\% | 4.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.2\% |
|  | Sample size (n) | 16 | 1 | 116 | 18 | 32 | 27 | 21 | 20 | 30 | 13 | 5 | 13 | 312 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| iv | Business operations |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Production volume |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Increased 1\%-15\% | 7.3\% | 28.6\% | 21.7\% | 25.6\% | 23.0\% | 11.4\% | 21.1\% | 28.6\% | 18.6\% | 17.9\% | 23.\% | 21.7\% | 20.7\% |
|  | Increased 16\%.30\% | 17.1\% | 0.0\% | 13.7\% | 7.7\% | 12.6\% | 20.0\% | 21.1\% | 4.8\% | 8.6\% | 21.4\% | 23.8\% | 13.0\% | 13.4\% |
|  | Increased $>30 \%$ \| | 0.0\% | 28.6\% | 6.8\% | 5.1\% | 9.2\% | 5.7\% | 10.5\% | 4.8\% | 10.0\% | 7.1\% | 4.8\% | 0.0\% | 6.9\% |
|  | Unchanged | 51.2\% | 0.0\% | 27.3\% | 34.6\% | 32.2\% | 114\% | 31.6\% | 19.0\% | 37.1\% | 32.1\% | 33.3\% | 47.8\% | 31.6\% |
|  | Decreased 1\%-15\% | 14.6\% | 28.6\% | 17.4\% | 9.0\% | 11.5\% | 25.7\% | 7.9\% | 28.6\% | 7.1\% | 17.9\% | 4.8\% | 13.0\% | 13.9\% |
|  | Decreased 16\% $30 \%$ | 4.9\% | 14.3\% | 7.5\% | 11.5\% | 9.2\% | 17.1\% | 5.3\% | 4.8\% | 8.6\% | 3.6\% | 9.5\% | 0.0\% | 8.2\% |
|  | Decreased $>30 \%$ | 4.9\% | 0.0\% | 5.6\% | 6.4\% | 2.3\% | 8.6\% | 2.6\% | 9.5\% | 10.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 4.3\% | 5.2\% |
|  | Sample size (n) | 41 | 7 | 161 | 78 | 87 | 35 | 38 | 21 | 70 | 28 | 21 | 23 | 610 |
| ii | Inventory or stock level |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Increased 1\%-15\% | 9.5\% | 28.6\% | 22.7\% | 18.2\% | 23.1\% | 9.1\% | 26.3\% | 28.6\% | 12.9\% | 26.1\% | 18.2\% | 20.0\% | 20.0\% |
|  | Increased 16\%.30\% | 11.9\% | 14.3\% | 9.1\% | 14.3\% | 7.7\% | 18.2\% | 10.5\% | 9.5\% | 6.5\% | 13.0\% | 13.6\% | 8.0\% | 10.3\% |
|  | Increased $>30 \%$ \| | 2.4\% | 14.3\% | 9.1\% | 11.7\% | 7.7\% | 9.1\% | 2.6\% | 9.5\% | 12.9\% | 13.0\% | 0.0\% | 8.0\% | 8.5\% |
|  | Unchanged | 47.6\% | 0.0\% | 32.5\% | 37.7\% | 35.9\% | 21.2\% | 44.7\% | 38.1\% | 48.4\% | 30.4\% | 45.5\% | 44.0\% | 37.2\% |
|  | Decreased 1\%-15\% | 21.4\% | 28.6\% | 19.5\% | 7.8\% | 12.0\% | 27.3\% | 7.9\% | 4.8\% | 8.1\% | 8.7\% | 18.2\% | 12.0\% | 14.2\% |
|  | Decreased 16\%-30\% | 7.1\% | 14.3\% | 2.6\% | 3.9\% | 8.5\% | 9.1\% | 5.3\% | 9.5\% | 4.8\% | 8.7\% | 0.0\% | 8.0\% | 5.6\% |
|  | Decreased $>30 \%$ | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 4.5\% | 6.5\% | 5.1\% | 6.1\% | 2.6\% | 0.0\% | 6.5\% | 0.0\% | 4.5\% | 0.0\% | 4.2\% |
|  | Sample size (n) | 42 | 7 | 154 | 77 | 117 | 33 | 38 | 21 | 62 | 23 | 22 | 25 | 621 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| v | Cost of raw materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| i | Local |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| i | Increased 1\%.5\% | 10.3\% | 0.0\% | 5.0\% | 4.0\% | 10.2\% | 6.7\% | 17.5\% | 15.0\% | 10.0\% | 14.3\% | 22.7\% | 19.0\% | 9.1\% |
|  | Increased 6\%-10\% | 10.3\% | 57.1\% | 18.0\% | 13.1\% | 26.9\% | 40.0\% | 15.0\% | 5.0\% | 17.1\% | 19.0\% | 9.1\% | 19.0\% | 18.8\% |
|  | Increased $>10 \%$ | 56.4\% | 42.9\% | 68.9\% | 66.7\% | 53.7\% | 46.7\% | 55.0\% | 55.0\% | 42.9\% | 19.0\% | 59.1\% | 19.0\% | 56.1\% |
|  | Unchanged | 15.4\% | 0.0\% | 5.0\% | 10.1\% | 6.5\% | 0.0\% | 10.0\% | 25.0\% | 24.3\% | 33.3\% | 4.5\% | 42.9\% | 11.6\% |
|  | Decreased $1 \%$-5\% | 5.1\% | 0.0\% | 1.2\% | 4.0\% | 1.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.9\% | 9.5\% | 4.5\% | 0.0\% | 2.4\% |
|  | Decreased 6\%-10\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.6\% | 1.0\% | 0.9\% | 3.3\% | 2.5\% | 0.0\% | 2.9\% | 4.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.3\% |
|  | Decreased $>10 \%$ | 2.6\% | 0.0\% | 1.2\% | 1.0\% | 0.0\% | 3.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.8\% |
|  | Sample size (n) | 39 | 7 | 161 | 99 | 108 | 30 | 40 | 20 | 70 | 21 | 22 | 21 | 638 |
| i | Imported |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Increased 1\%.5\% | 10.8\% | 33.3\% | 4.1\% | 8.8\% | 11.6\% | 8.7\% | 11.1\% | 16.7\% | 16.1\% | 15.8\% | 17.6\% | 15.8\% | 10.2\% |
|  | Increased $6 \%-10 \%$ | 5.4\% | 0.0\% | 15.8\% | 15.0\% | 16.3\% | 21.7\% | 11.1\% | 0.0\% | 16.1\% | 10.5\% | 11.8\% | 24.1\% | 14.3\% |
|  | Increased > 10\% | 54.1\% | 33.3\% | 69.2\% | 58.8\% | 53.5\% | 60.9\% | 55.6\% | 55.6\% | 33.9\% | 36.8\% | 47.1\% | 31.6\% | 55.4\% |
|  | Unchanged | 27.0\% | 33.3\% | 5.5\% | 13.8\% | 10.5\% | 0.0\% | 18.5\% | 22.2\% | 26.8\% | 31.6\% | 11.8\% | 31.6\% | 14.5\% |
|  | Decreased $1 \%$-5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.1\% | 1.3\% | 4.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 7.1\% | 5.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.4\% |
|  | Decreased 6\%-10\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.7\% | 2.5\% | 0.0\% | 4.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.8\% |
|  | Decreased $>10 \%$ | 2.7\% | 0.0\% | 2.7\% | 0.0\% | 3.5\% | 4.3\% | 3.7\% | 5.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 11.8\% | 0.0\% | 2.4\% |
|  | Sample size (n) | 37 | 3 | 146 | 80 | 86 | ${ }^{23}$ | 27 | 18 | 56 | 19 | 17 | 19 | 531 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| , | Manpower |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Vi | Number of employees |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Increased 1-5 | 10.9\% | 28.6\% | 13.3\% | 13.2\% | 19.2\% | 20.0\% | 8.6\% | 21.9\% | 11.1\% | 22.2\% | 14.7\% | 15.8\% | 14.9\% |
|  | Increased 6-10 | 8.7\% | 0.0\% | 4.2\% | 10.4\% | 8.9\% | 17.5\% | 1.7\% | 12.5\% | 8.1\% | 6.7\% | 5.9\% | 7.9\% | 7.7\% |
|  | Increased $>10$ | 4.3\% | 28.6\% | 9.6\% | 8.5\% | 4.1\% | 2.5\% | 12.1\% | 3.1\% | 11.1\% | 11.1\% | 11.8\% | 5.3\% | 8.2\% |
|  | Unchanged | 50.0\% | 14.3\% | 29.5\% | 41.5\% | 53.4\% | 40.0\% | 50.0\% | 43.8\% | 48.1\% | 33.3\% | 50.0\% | 60.5\% | 43.8\% |
|  | Decreased 1-5 | 10.9\% | 14.3\% | 20.5\% | 16.0\% | 11.0\% | 17.5\% | 17.2\% | 9.4\% | 17.8\% | 15.6\% | 2.9\% | 7.9\% | 15.0\% |
|  | Decreased 6-10 | 6.5\% | 14.3\% | 9.0\% | 5.7\% | 2.7\% | 0.0\% | 5.2\% | 0.0\% | 2.2\% | 2.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 4.2\% |
|  | Decreased $>10$ | 8.7\% | 0.0\% | 13.9\% | 4.7\% | 0.7\% | 2.5\% | 5.2\% | 9.4\% | 1.5\% | 8.9\% | 14.7\% | 2.6\% | 6.1\% |
|  | Sample size (n) | 46 | 7 | 166 | 106 | 146 | 40 | 58 | 32 | 135 | 45 | 34 | 38 | 853 |
| i | Wage growth |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Increased 1\%.5\% | 22.2\% | 14.3\% | 24.1\% | 25.7\% | 22.4\% | 32.4\% | 24.6\% | 18.2\% | 16.7\% | 20.0\% | 23.5\% | 13.5\% | 22.1\% |
|  | Increased 6\%-10\% | 22.2\% | 14.3\% | 28.9\% | 19.0\% | 22.4\% | 20.6\% | 10.5\% | 21.2\% | 22.2\% | 13.3\% | 14.7\% | 18.9\% | 21.3\% |
|  | Increased $>10 \%$ | 22.2\% | 42.9\% | 27.1\% | 20.0\% | 20.3\% | 14.7\% | 29.8\% | 24.2\% | 25.4\% | 11.1\% | 11.8\% | 21.6\% | 22.5\% |
|  | Unchanged | 28.9\% | 28.6\% | 13.3\% | 30.5\% | 32.2\% | 26.5\% | 28.1\% | 30.3\% | 29.4\% | 40.0\% | 47.1\% | 35.1\% | 28.1\% |
|  | Decreased 1\%.5\% | 2.2\% | 0.0\% | 3.6\% | 3.8\% | 2.8\% | 2.9\% | 7.0\% | 6.1\% | 4.0\% | 4.4\% | 0.0\% | 8.1\% | 3.8\% |
|  | Decreased 6\%-10\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.8\% | 1.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.6\% |
|  | Decreased $710 \%$ | 2.2\% | 0.0\% | 1.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.4\% | 8.9\% | 2.9\% | 2.7\% | 1.6\% |
|  | Sample size (n) | 45 | 7 | 166 | 105 | 143 | 34 | 57 | 33 | 126 | 45 | 34 | 37 | 832 |


| MALAYSIA'S BUSINESS AND ECONOMIC CONDITIONS SURVEY (M-BECS) RESULTS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| FOR THE 1ST HALF-YEAR OF 2022 (JAN-JUN 2022) AND OUTLOOK FOR THE 2ND HALF-YEAR OF 2022 (JUL-DEC 2022) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\underline{\square}$ | 先 |
| vil | Others |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| i | Capital expenditure |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Increased 1\%-15\%\| | 23.3\% | 14.3\% | 37.5\% | 31.5\% | 40.3\% | 35.3\% | 26.9\% | 31.0\% | 21.7\% | 15.6\% | 35.7\% | 21.4\% | 31.0\% |
|  | Increased 16\%.30\% | 25.6\% | 42.9\% | 24.3\% | 25.0\% | 19.3\% | 29.4\% | 23.1\% | 20.7\% | 16.0\% | 28.1\% | 14.3\% | 14.3\% | 22.0\% |
|  | Increased $>30 \%$ | 11.6\% | 14.3\% | 9.2\% | 13.0\% | 10.1\% | 17.6\% | 9.6\% | 13.\% | 19.8\% | 12.5\% | 10.7\% | 0.0\% | 12.0\% |
|  | Unchanged | 32.6\% | 28.6\% | 25.0\% | 26.1\% | 27.7\% | 11.8\% | 38.5\% | 27.6\% | 35.8\% | 34.4\% | 35.7\% | 57.1\% | 30.2\% |
|  | Decreased $1 \%$-15\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.0\% | 4.3\% | 1.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 3.4\% | 4.7\% | 6.3\% | 3.6\% | 3.6\% | 2.6\% |
|  | Decreased 16\%.30\% | 7.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 3.4\% | 1.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 3.6\% | 1.1\% |
|  | Decreased $30 \%$ | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.8\% | 5.9\% | 1.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 3.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.0\% |
|  | Sample size (n) | 43 | 7 | 152 | 92 | 119 | 34 | 52 | 29 | 106 | 32 | 28 | 28 | 722 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Forecast: 2 H 2022 (Jul-Dec 2022) compared to 1H 2022 (Jan-Jun 2022) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | Overall |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| i | Business conditions |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Better | 10.9\% | 14.3\% | 17.9\% | 22.6\% | 27.8\% | 15.4\% | 30.5\% | 30.3\% | 25.9\% | 26.7\% | 35.3\% | 23.7\% | 23.7\% |
|  | Neutral | 73.9\% | 42.9\% | 60.1\% | 66.0\% | 56.9\% | 61.5\% | 62.7\% | 42.4\% | 63.0\% | 66.7\% | 44.1\% | 60.5\% | 60.7\% |
|  | Worse | 15.2\% | 42.9\% | 22.0\% | 11.3\% | 15.3\% | 23.1\% | 6.8\% | 27.3\% | 11.1\% | 6.7\% | 20.6\% | 15.8\% | 15.7\% |
|  | Sample size (n) | 46 | 7 | 168 | 106 | 144 | 39 | 59 | 33 | 135 | 45 | 34 | 38 | 854 |
| il | Cash flows conditions |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Better | 8.7\% | 14.3\% | 9.7\% | 15.2\% | 19.4\% | 11.8\% | 22.0\% | 18.2\% | 20.9\% | 20.5\% | 29.4\% | 7.9\% | 16.4\% |
|  | Neutral | 69.6\% | 42.9\% | 65.5\% | 68.6\% | 63.9\% | 47.1\% | 66.1\% | 57.6\% | 66.4\% | 68.2\% | 50.0\% | 71.1\% | 64.5\% |
|  | Worse | 21.7\% | 42.9\% | 24.8\% | 16.2\% | 16.7\% | 41.2\% | 11.9\% | 24.2\% | 12.7\% | 11.4\% | 20.6\% | 21.1\% | 19.1\% |
|  | Sample size (n) | 46 | 7 | 165 | 105 | 144 | 34 | 59 | 33 | 134 | 44 | 34 | 38 | 843 |
| iii | Debtors' conditions |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Better | 6.5\% | 14.3\% | 6.1\% | 9.5\% | 13.3\% | 11.8\% | 16.9\% | 12.1\% | 14.9\% | 13.6\% | 23.5\% | 5.3\% | 11.5\% |
|  | Neutral | 69.6\% | 42.9\% | 68.5\% | 72.4\% | 70.6\% | 55.9\% | 71.2\% | 57.6\% | 67.2\% | 72.7\% | 55.9\% | 73.7\% | 68.2\% |
|  | Worse | 23.9\% | 42.9\% | 25.5\% | 18.1\% | 16.1\% | 32.4\% | 11.9\% | 30.3\% | 17.9\% | 13.6\% | 20.6\% | 21.1\% | 20.3\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| iv |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Less than 50\%/ | 43.3\% | 28.6\% | 27.6\% | 46.6\% | 43.1\% | 33.3\% | 44.0\% | 45.0\% | 38.3\% | 45.8\% | 38.9\% | 18.8\% | 37.0\% |
|  | 50\% to < 75\% | 30.0\% | 71.4\% | 38.6\% | 36.2\% | 27.7\% | 58.3\% | 24.0\% | 30.0\% | 36.7\% | 41.7\% | 33.3\% | 31.3\% | 36.2\% |
|  | $75 \%$ to $90 \%$ | 13.3\% | 0.0\% | 22.8\% | 8.6\% | 15.4\% | 4.2\% | 24.0\% | 10.0\% | 20.0\% | 12.5\% | 16.7\% | 31.3\% | 17.1\% |
|  | More than $90 \%$ | 13.3\% | 0.0\% | 11.0\% | 8.6\% | 13.\% | 4.2\% | 8.0\% | 15.0\% | 5.0\% | 0.0\% | 11.1\% | 18.\% | 9.8\% |
|  | Sample size (n) | 30 | 7 | 145 | 58 | 65 | 24 | 25 | 20 | 60 | 24 | 18 | 16 | 492 |
| $\checkmark$ | Overall sales revenue |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Increase 1\%-15\% | 17.4\% | 42.9\% | 27.4\% | 32.1\% | 27.6\% | 13.5\% | 20.3\% | 33.3\% | 23.0\% | 15.6\% | 38.2\% | 18.4\% | 25.4\% |
|  | Increase 16\%-30\% | 21.7\% | 14.3\% | 15.2\% | 9.4\% | 11.0\% | 27.0\% | 16.9\% | 6.1\% | 11.9\% | 15.6\% | 8.8\% | 15.8\% | 13.7\% |
|  | Increase $>30 \%$ | 4.3\% | 14.3\% | 2.4\% | 5.7\% | 10.3\% | 2.7\% | 15.3\% | 15.2\% | 7.4\% | 22.2\% | 2.9\% | 7.9\% | 7.9\% |
|  | Unchanged | 43.5\% | 0.0\% | 27.4\% | 31.1\% | 26.2\% | 13.5\% | 30.5\% | 24.2\% | 32.6\% | 24.4\% | 29.4\% | 42.1\% | 29.2\% |
|  | Decrease $1 \%-15 \%$ | 6.5\% | 28.6\% | 15.2\% | $9.4 \%$ | 18.6\% | 29.7\% | 10.2\% | 12.1\% | 12.6\% | 8.9\% | 5.9\% | 10.5\% | 13.5\% |
|  | Decrease 16\%-30\% | 6.5\% | 0.0\% | 7.3\% | 5.7\% | 4.8\% | 10.8\% | 5.1\% | 3.0\% | 8.9\% | 11.1\% | 8.8\% | 2.6\% | 6.7\% |
|  | Decrease $>30 \%$ | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 4.9\% | 6.6\% | 1.4\% | 2.7\% | 1.7\% | 6.1\% | 3.7\% | 2.2\% | 5.9\% | 2.6\% | 3.5\% |
|  | Sample size (n) | 46 | 7 | 164 | 106 | 145 | 37 | 59 | 33 | ${ }^{135}$ | 45 | 34 | 38 | 849 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| " | Domestic |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| i | Sales revenue |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Increase 1\%-15\% | 20.5\% | 28.6\% | 30.3\% | 27.7\% | 28.1\% | 20.5\% | 28.6\% | 32.3\% | 30.1\% | 27.3\% | 37.5\% | 27.\% | 28.5\% |
|  | Increase 16\%-30\% | 15.9\% | 28.6\% | 12.9\% | 12.8\% | 11.1\% | 20.5\% | 16.1\% | 6.5\% | 9.7\% | 12.1\% | 12.5\% | 13.9\% | 12.8\% |
|  | Increase $>30 \%$ | 2.3\% | 0.0\% | 1.9\% | 5.3\% | 6.7\% | 2.6\% | 7.1\% | 3.2\% | 8.8\% | 18.2\% | 3.1\% | 11.1\% | 5.8\% |
|  | Unchanged | 43.2\% | 14.3\% | 25.2\% | 28.7\% | 23.7\% | 23.1\% | 33.9\% | 22.6\% | 27.4\% | 27.3\% | 25.0\% | 27.8\% | 27.2\% |
|  | Decrease 1\%-15\% | 9.1\% | 28.6\% | 19.4\% | 11.7\% | 24.4\% | 7.7\% | 10.7\% | 19.4\% | 9.7\% | 9.1\% | 6.3\% | 16.7\% | 15.1\% |
|  | Decrease 16\%.30\% | 9.1\% | 0.0\% | 6.5\% | 5.3\% | 3.7\% | 17.9\% | 1.8\% | 9.7\% | 6.2\% | 3.0\% | 6.3\% | 0.0\% | 5.8\% |
|  | Decrease $>30 \%$ | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 3.9\% | 8.5\% | 2.2\% | 7.7\% | 1.8\% | 6.5\% | 8.0\% | 3.0\% | 9.4\% | 2.8\% | 4.8\% |
|  | Sample size (n) | 44 | 7 | 155 | 94 | 135 | 39 | 56 | 31 | 113 | 33 | 32 | 36 | 775 |
| i | Price level |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Increase 1\%-15\% | 29.5\% | 14.3\% | 43.0\% | 38.3\% | 36.8\% | 30.3\% | 41.1\% | 43.8\% | 26.4\% | 25.8\% | 46.7\% | 25.0\% | 35.8\% |
|  | Increase 16\%.30\% | 22.7\% | 14.3\% | 21.5\% | 17.0\% | 23.3\% | 21.2\% | 16.1\% | 15.6\% | 10.0\% | 22.6\% | 13.3\% | 16.7\% | 18.4\% |
|  | Increase $>30 \%$ | 4.5\% | 14.3\% | 5.4\% | 11.7\% | 9.8\% | 18.2\% | 7.1\% | 15.6\% | 10.0\% | 6.5\% | 6.7\% | 8.3\% | 9.0\% |
|  | Unchanged | 38.6\% | 42.9\% | 22.1\% | 24.5\% | 24.1\% | 9.1\% | 30.4\% | 18.8\% | 35.5\% | 35.5\% | 26.7\% | 44.4\% | 27.5\% |
|  | Decrease $1 \%-15 \%$ | 4.5\% | 14.3\% | 5.4\% | 4.3\% | 3.8\% | 6.1\% | 3.6\% | 3.1\% | 9.1\% | 6.5\% | 6.7\% | 5.6\% | 5.4\% |
|  | Decrease 16\%.30\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.7\% | 1.1\% | 0.8\% | 9.1\% | 0.0\% | 3.1\% | 8.2\% | 3.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.3\% |
|  | Decrease $>30 \%$ | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.0\% | 3.2\% | 1.5\% | 6.1\% | 1.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.6\% |
|  | Sample size (n) | 44 | 7 | 149 | 94 | 133 | 33 | 56 | 32 | 110 | 31 | 30 | 36 | 755 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| III | Foreign |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $i$ | Sales revenue |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Increase 1\%-15\% | 35.3\% | 0.0\% | 25.8\% | 10.5\% | 28.1\% | 23.3\% | 26.3\% | 33.3\% | 20.0\% | 18.2\% | 0.0\% | 25.0\% | 24.8\% |
|  | Increase 16\%-30\% | 5.9\% | 0.0\% | 12.5\% | 10.5\% | 9.4\% | 13.3\% | 5.3\% | 4.8\% | 10.0\% | 18.2\% | 0.0\% | 8.3\% | 10.5\% |
|  | Increase $>30 \%$ | 0.0\% | 100.0\% | 1.7\% | 5.3\% | 0.0\% | 3.3\% | 0.0\% | 9.5\% | 13.3\% | 18.2\% | 0.0\% | 16.7\% | 4.8\% |
|  | Unchanged | 47.1\% | 0.0\% | 34.2\% | 47.4\% | 40.6\% | 30.0\% | 52.6\% | 28.6\% | 30.0\% | 36.4\% | 66.7\% | 33.3\% | 36.5\% |
|  | Decrease $1 \%-15 \%$ | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 10.8\% | 10.5\% | 15.6\% | 10.0\% | 5.3\% | 9.5\% | 10.0\% | 9.1\% | 0.0\% | 8.3\% | 9.8\% |
|  | Decrease 16\%.30\% | 11.8\% | 0.0\% | 9.2\% | 5.3\% | 6.3\% | 13.3\% | 0.0\% | 4.8\% | 10.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 8.3\% | 7.9\% |
|  | Decrease $>30 \%$ | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 5.8\% | 10.5\% | 0.0\% | 6.7\% | 10.5\% | 9.5\% | 6.7\% | 0.0\% | 33.3\% | 0.0\% | 5.7\% |
|  | Sample size (n) | 17 | 1 | 120 | 19 | 32 | 30 | 19 | 21 | 30 | 11 | 3 | 12 | 315 |
| ii | Price level |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Increase 1\%-15\% | 29.4\% | 100.0\% | 38.6\% | 22.2\% | 48.4\% | 28.0\% | 25.0\% | 28.6\% | 25.9\% | 40.0\% | 0.0\% | 8.3\% | 33.1\% |
|  | Increase $16 \%$-30\% | 11.8\% | 0.0\% | 17.5\% | 11.1\% | 9.7\% | 28.0\% | 25.0\% | 14.3\% | 14.8\% | 10.0\% | 0.0\% | 16.7\% | 16.4\% |
|  | Increase 3 30\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 5.3\% | 5.6\% | 3.2\% | 16.0\% | 5.0\% | 33.3\% | 18.5\% | 10.0\% | 0.0\% | 16.7\% | 9.4\% |
|  | Unchanged | 47.1\% | 0.0\% | 34.2\% | 33.3\% | 35.5\% | 12.0\% | 35.0\% | 23.8\% | 29.6\% | 40.0\% | 33.3\% | 58.3\% | 33.1\% |
|  | Decrease 1\%-15\% | 11.8\% | 0.0\% | 2.6\% | 11.1\% | 3.2\% | 4.0\% | 5.0\% | 0.0\% | 11.1\% | 0.0\% | 33.3\% | 0.0\% | 4.7\% |
|  | Decrease 16\%.30\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 11.1\% | 0.0\% | 8.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.3\% |
|  | Decrease $>30 \%$ | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.8\% | 5.6\% | 0.0\% | 4.0\% | 5.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 33.3\% | 0.0\% | 2.0\% |
|  | Sample size (n) | 17 | 1 | 114 | 18 | 31 | 25 | 20 | 21 | 27 | 10 |  | 12 | 299 |


| MALAYSIA'S BUSINESS AND ECONOMIC CONDITIONS SURVEY (M-BECS) RESULTS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| FOR THE 1ST HALF-YEAR OF 2022 (JAN-JUN 2022) AND OUTLOOK FOR THE 2ND HALF-YEAR OF 2022 (JUL-DEC 2022) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\underline{\square}$ |  |
| v | Business operations |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| i | Production volume |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Increase 1\%-15\% | 12.5\% | 28.6\% | 29.7\% | 30.3\% | 22.7\% | 21.2\% | 21.1\% | 26.1\% | 16.9\% | 21.4\% | 20.8\% | 4.5\% | 23.4\% |
|  | Increase 16\%-30\% | 15.0\% | 14.3\% | 7.0\% | 6.6\% | 15.9\% | 15.2\% | 7.9\% | 8.7\% | 8.5\% | 21.4\% | 4.2\% | 18.2\% | 10.5\% |
|  | Increase 3 30\% | 0.0\% | 14.3\% | 3.2\% | 3.9\% | 3.4\% | 3.0\% | 7.9\% | 4.3\% | 8.5\% | 14.3\% | 4.2\% | 4.5\% | 4.8\% |
|  | Unchanged | 47.5\% | 14.3\% | 30.4\% | 35.5\% | 40.9\% | 15.2\% | 44.7\% | 21.7\% | 39.4\% | 28.\% | 50.0\% | 63.6\% | 36.2\% |
|  | Decrease 1\%-15\% | 12.5\% | 28.6\% | 19.0\% | 11.8\% | 12.5\% | 18.2\% | 13.2\% | 26.1\% | 11.3\% | 10.7\% | 8.3\% | 0.0\% | 14.3\% |
|  | Decrease 16\%-30\% | 10.0\% | 0.0\% | 7.6\% | 5.3\% | 2.3\% | 24.2\% | 2.6\% | 4.3\% | 5.6\% | 0.0\% | 8.3\% | 9.1\% | 6.6\% |
|  | Decrease $>30 \%$ | 2.5\% | 0.0\% | 3.2\% | 6.6\% | 2.3\% | 3.0\% | 2.6\% | 8.7\% | 9.9\% | 3.6\% | 4.2\% | 0.0\% | 4.3\% |
|  | Sample size (n) | 40 | 7 | 158 | 76 | 88 | 33 | 38 | ${ }^{23}$ | 71 | ${ }^{28}$ | 24 | 22 | 608 |
| ${ }^{1 i}$ | Inventory or stock level |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Increase 1\%-15\% | 15.0\% | 42.9\% | 27.2\% | 25.0\% | 28.2\% | 17.6\% | 16.7\% | 19.0\% | 17.7\% | 17.4\% | 21.7\% | 13.6\% | 23.0\% |
|  | Increase 16\%.30\% | 10.0\% | 0.0\% | 9.9\% | 13.2\% | 10.0\% | 11.8\% | 5.6\% | 19.0\% | 9.7\% | 21.7\% | 0.0\% | 9.1\% | 10.4\% |
|  | Increase $30 \%$ | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 3.3\% | 5.3\% | 5.5\% | 8.8\% | 11.1\% | 14.3\% | 3.2\% | 17.4\% | 8.7\% | 4.5\% | 5.6\% |
|  | Unchanged | 52.5\% | 14.3\% | 39.7\% | 39.5\% | 36.4\% | 23.5\% | 50.0\% | 42.9\% | 50.0\% | 34.8\% | 47.8\% | 68.2\% | 41.7\% |
|  | Decrease 1\%-15\% | 10.0\% | 42.9\% | 13.2\% | 9.2\% | 11.8\% | 17.6\% | 11.1\% | 4.8\% | 8.1\% | 8.7\% | 13.0\% | 0.0\% | 11.2\% |
|  | Decrease 16\%-30\% | 10.0\% | 0.0\% | 3.3\% | 1.3\% | 7.3\% | 14.7\% | 2.8\% | 0.0\% | 4.8\% | 0.0\% | 4.3\% | 4.5\% | 4.8\% |
|  | Decrease $>30 \%$ | 2.5\% | 0.0\% | 3.3\% | 6.6\% | 0.9\% | 5.9\% | 2.8\% | 0.0\% | 6.5\% | 0.0\% | 4.3\% | 0.0\% | 3.3\% |
|  | Sample size (n) | 40 | 7 | 151 | 76 | 110 | 34 | 36 | 21 | 62 | ${ }^{23}$ | 23 | 22 | 605 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| v | Cost of raw materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| i | Local |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Increase 1\%.5\%/ | 15.0\% | 14.3\% | 11.3\% | 10.9\% | 19.8\% | 16.7\% | 12.2\% | 25.0\% | 8.7\% | 17.4\% | 29.2\% | 11.1\% | 14.2\% |
|  | Increase 6\%-10\% | 15.0\% | 14.3\% | 23.8\% | 16.8\% | 22.6\% | 10.0\% | 22.0\% | 15.0\% | 23.2\% | 17.4\% | 16.7\% | 27.8\% | 20.3\% |
|  | Increase $>10 \%$ | 47.5\% | 71.4\% | 55.6\% | 59.4\% | 39.6\% | 53.3\% | 36.6\% | 50.0\% | 31.9\% | 26.1\% | 41.7\% | 22.2\% | 46.6\% |
|  | Unchanged | 22.5\% | 0.0\% | 7.5\% | 8.9\% | 15.1\% | 6.7\% | 26.8\% | 10.0\% | 30.4\% | 26.1\% | 12.5\% | 33.3\% | 15.2\% |
|  | Decrease 1\%.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.3\% | 2.0\% | 1.9\% | 10.0\% | 2.4\% | 0.0\% | 2.9\% | 4.3\% | 0.0\% | 5.6\% | 2.2\% |
|  | Decrease 6\%-10\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.0\% | 0.9\% | 3.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.9\% | 4.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.9\% |
|  | Decrease $>10 \%$ | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.6\% | 1.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 4.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.5\% |
|  | Sample size (n) | 40 | 7 | 160 | 101 | 106 | 30 | 41 | 20 | 69 | 23 | 24 | 18 | 639 |
| i | Imported |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Increase 1\%-5\% | 13.2\% | 0.0\% | 11.6\% | 11.1\% | 13.8\% | 20.8\% | 12.1\% | 27.8\% | 20.4\% | 19.0\% | 21.1\% | 11.8\% | 14.4\% |
|  | Increase 6\%-10\% | 15.8\% | 50.0\% | 16.3\% | 18.5\% | 18.4\% | 8.3\% | 15.2\% | 11.1\% | 14.8\% | 14.3\% | 26.3\% | 17.6\% | 16.8\% |
|  | Increase $>10 \%$ | 44.7\% | 25.0\% | 57.1\% | 54.3\% | 41.4\% | 50.0\% | 39.4\% | 44.4\% | 29.6\% | 23.8\% | 36.8\% | 35.3\% | 45.9\% |
|  | Unchanged | 26.3\% | 25.0\% | 11.6\% | 11.1\% | 20.7\% | 12.5\% | 33.3\% | 16.7\% | 29.6\% | 28.6\% | 15.8\% | 35.3\% | 19.0\% |
|  | Decrease 1\%.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.4\% | 2.5\% | 4.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 3.7\% | 9.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.2\% |
|  | Decrease 6\%-10\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.7\% | 1.2\% | 1.1\% | 4.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.9\% | 4.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.1\% |
|  | Decrease $>10 \%$ | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.4\% | 1.2\% | 0.0\% | 4.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.7\% |
|  | Sample size (n) | 38 | 4 | 147 | 81 | 87 | 24 | 33 | 18 | 54 | 21 | 19 | 17 | 543 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| v1 | Manpower |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $i$ | Number of employees |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Increase 1-5 | 10.9\% | 28.6\% | 17.0\% | 14.2\% | 17.9\% | 25.6\% | 22.4\% | 15.6\% | 11.4\% | 20.0\% | 20.6\% | 26.3\% | 17.1\% |
|  | Increase 6 -10 | 8.7\% | 14.3\% | 7.9\% | 11.3\% | 7.6\% | 17.9\% | 6.9\% | 12.5\% | 6.1\% | 15.\% | 11.8\% | 10.5\% | 9.3\% |
|  | Increase > 10 | 8.7\% | 14.3\% | 10.9\% | 9.4\% | 5.5\% | 2.6\% | 6.9\% | 9.4\% | 12.1\% | 6.7\% | 8.8\% | 5.3\% | 8.6\% |
|  | Unchanged | 54.3\% | 14.3\% | 33.3\% | 46.2\% | 58.6\% | 35.9\% | 48.3\% | 50.0\% | 48.5\% | 40.0\% | 44.1\% | 52.6\% | 46.0\% |
|  | Decrease 1-5 | 4.3\% | 28.6\% | 14.5\% | 10.4\% | 8.3\% | 17.9\% | 10.3\% | 6.3\% | 16.7\% | 8.9\% | 8.8\% | 2.6\% | 11.3\% |
|  | Decrease 6-10 | 2.2\% | 0.0\% | 5.5\% | 4.7\% | 1.4\% | 0.0\% | 3.4\% | 0.0\% | 3.0\% | 4.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 3.0\% |
|  | Decrease $>10$ | 10.9\% | 0.0\% | 10.9\% | 3.8\% | 0.7\% | 0.0\% | 1.7\% | 6.3\% | 2.3\% | 4.4\% | 5.9\% | 2.6\% | 4.6\% |
|  | Sample size (n) | 46 | 7 | 165 | 106 | 145 | 39 | 58 | 32 | 132 | 45 | 34 | 38 | 847 |
| ii | Wage growth |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Increase 1\%-5\% | 20.0\% | 14.3\% | 21.1\% | 24.8\% | 21.0\% | 29.4\% | 26.8\% | 18.2\% | 15.9\% | 24.4\% | 23.5\% | 10.8\% | 21.1\% |
|  | Increase 6\%-10\% | 13.3\% | 28.6\% | 19.3\% | 15.2\% | 19.6\% | 20.6\% | 19.6\% | 18.2\% | 15.9\% | 13.3\% | 8.8\% | 5.4\% | 16.7\% |
|  | Increase $>10 \%$ | 22.2\% | 28.6\% | 28.3\% | 17.1\% | 17.5\% | 17.6\% | 21.4\% | 24.2\% | 28.6\% | 13.3\% | 11.8\% | 21.6\% | 21.9\% |
|  | Unchanged | 42.2\% | 28.6\% | 25.3\% | 37.1\% | 41.3\% | 32.4\% | 32.1\% | 36.4\% | 31.0\% | 37.8\% | 47.1\% | 59.5\% | 35.6\% |
|  | Decrease 1\%.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.8\% | 3.8\% | 0.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 3.0\% | 4.0\% | 4.4\% | 5.9\% | 0.0\% | 2.2\% |
|  | Decrease 6\%-10\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.2\% | 1.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.6\% |
|  | Decrease $>10 \%$ | 2.2\% | 0.0\% | 3.0\% | 1.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 3.2\% | 6.7\% | 2.9\% | 2.7\% | 1.9\% |
|  | Sample size (n) | 45 | 7 | 166 | 105 | 143 | 34 | 56 | 33 | 126 | 45 | 34 | 37 | 831 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| vil | Others |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| i | Capital expenditure |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Increase 1\%-15\% | 21.4\% | 28.6\% | 31.1\% | 32.6\% | 33.0\% | 25.0\% | 30.4\% | 33.3\% | 17.9\% | 35.5\% | 34.6\% | 23.8\% | 29.0\% |
|  | Increase 16\%-30\% | 21.4\% | 28.6\% | 17.2\% | 16.3\% | 18.9\% | 37.5\% | 26.1\% | 18.5\% | 13.7\% | 6.5\% | 11.5\% | 4.8\% | 17.8\% |
|  | Increase $>30 \%$ | 11.9\% | 14.3\% | 8.6\% | 10.5\% | 10.4\% | 6.3\% | 6.5\% | 7.4\% | 16.8\% | 9.7\% | 19.2\% | 0.0\% | 10.4\% |
|  | Unchanged | 38.1\% | 28.6\% | 38.4\% | 34.9\% | 34.9\% | 18.8\% | 34.8\% | 40.7\% | 45.3\% | 35.5\% | 26.9\% | 61.9\% | 37.3\% |
|  | Decrease 1\%-15\% | 7.1\% | 0.0\% | 2.6\% | 4.7\% | 1.9\% | 9.4\% | 2.2\% | 0.0\% | 4.2\% | 0.0\% | 7.7\% | 4.8\% | 3.6\% |
|  | Decrease 16\%-30\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.9\% | 3.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.1\% | 12.9\% | 0.0\% | 4.8\% | 1.3\% |
|  | Decrease $>30 \%$ | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.3\% | 1.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.6\% |
|  | Sample size (n) | 42 | 7 | 151 | 86 | 106 | 32 | 46 | 27 | 95 | 31 | 26 | 21 | 670 |


| MALAYSIA'S BUSINESS AND ECONOMIC CONDITIONS SURVEY (M-BECS) RESULTS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| FOR THE 1ST HALF-YEAR OF 2022 (JAN-JUN 2022) AND OUTLOOK FOR THE 2ND HALF-YEAR OF 2022 (JUL-DEC 2022) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\underline{\square}$ | 䂞 |
| Section C: Current Issue |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| RCEP: Tapping SMEs' Potential to Go Global |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{\text {C1 }}$ | Please rate the following best describes about your current stage of | business | lopmen | aysia. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Start-up stage | 16.7\% | 14.3\% | 10.7\% | 16.0\% | 17.7\% | 10.0\% | 22.0\% | 15.2\% | 25.9\% | 20.0\% | 14.7\% | 13.2\% | 17.0\% |
|  | Growth stage | 33.3\% | 28.\% | 28.0\% | 41.5\% | 35.4\% | 32.5\% | 32.2\% | 42.4\% | 43.7\% | 22.2\% | 44.1\% | 47.4\% | 35.9\% |
|  | Expansion stage | 22.9\% | 42.9\% | 30.4\% | 16.0\% | 15.\% | 35.\% | 16.9\% | 24.2\% | 10.4\% | 31.1\% | 11.8\% | 23.7\% | 20.7\% |
|  | Maturity stage | 12.5\% | 14.3\% | 15.5\% | 10.4\% | 12.9\% | 20.0\% | 5.1\% | 6.1\% | 10.4\% | 11.1\% | 17.6\% | 5.3\% | 12.0\% |
|  | Decline stage | 14.6\% | 0.0\% | 15.5\% | 16.0\% | 18.4\% | 2.5\% | 23.7\% | 12.1\% | 9.6\% | 15.6\% | 11.8\% | 10.5\% | 14.4\% |
|  | Sample size (n) | 48 | 7 | 168 | 106 | 147 | 40 | 59 | 33 | 135 | 45 | 34 | 38 | 860 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| C2 | Please rate the following best describes about the current stage of | dustrial | lution for | laysia's m | facturing |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1 R 1.0 ( Mechanization, steam and water power) | 23.4\% | 28.6\% | 7.2\% | 11.7\% | 9.6\% | 5.1\% | 11.9\% | 6.1\% | 10.5\% | 8.9\% | 5.9\% | 2.6\% | 9.8\% |
|  | 1R2.0 (Mass production and electricity) | 23.4\% | 28.\% | 38.0 | 24.3\% | 23.3\% | 23.1\% | 23.7\% | 30.3\% | 22.6\% | 22.2\% | 29.4\% | 13.2\% | 26.2\% |
|  | 1R3.0 (Electronic and IT systems, automation) | 44.7\% | 42.9\% | 51.2\% | 50.5\% | 59.6\% | 61.5\% | 49.2\% | 51.5\% | 45.1\% | 53.3\% | 29.4\% | 63.2\% | 51.3\% |
|  | 18.0 (Cyber physical systems) | 8.5\% | 0.0\% | 3.6\% | 13.6\% | 7.5\% | 10.3\% | 15.3\% | 12.1\% | 21.8\% | 15.6\% | 35.3\% | 24.1\% | 12.7\% |
|  | Sample size (n) | 47 | 7 | 166 | 103 | 146 | 39 | 59 | 33 | 133 | 45 | 34 | 38 | 850 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| c3 | What are the strengths of Malaysian SMEs? (Multiple-answer) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Cost and price competitive | 18.8\% | 71.4\% | 40.0\% | 36.2\% | 27.9\% | 40.0\% | 30.5\% | 30.3\% | 27.4\% | 31.1\% | 47.1\% | 47.4\% | 33.6\% |
|  | High value-added products | 12.5\% | 28.\% | 12.1\% | 7.6\% | 15.0\% | 7.5\% | 10.2\% | 12.1\% | 9.6\% | 17.8\% | 17.6\% | 23.7\% | 12.5\% |
|  | Leverage on rich domestic natural resources | 47.9\% | 57.1\% | 41.2\% | 40.0\% | 41.5\% | 30.0\% | 37.3\% | 39.4\% | 37.8\% | 33.3\% | 44.1\% | 26.3\% | 39.3\% |
|  | Ample fiscal and financial support | 18.8\% | 28.\% | 6.7\% | 14.3\% | 8.8\% | 5.0\% | 3.4\% | 6.1\% | 13.3\% | 17.8\% | 11.8\% | 10.5\% | 10.5\% |
|  | Adjust to maket trend and demand | 37.5\% | 57.1\% | 47.9\% | 47.6\% | 44.9\% | 40.0\% | 42.4\% | 39.4\% | 37.8\% | 40.0\% | 41.2\% | 36.8\% | 43.0\% |
|  | Risk taker | 313\% | 42.9\% | 26.7\% | 31.4\% | 21.1\% | 20.0\% | 28.8\% | 24.2\% | 23.7\% | 20.0\% | 20.6\% | 18.4\% | 25.0\% |
|  | High level of automation and digita a aopotion | 4.2\% | 42.9\% | 8.5\% | 13.3\% | 12.9\% | 5.0\% | 15.3\% | 18.2\% | 11.9\% | 22.2\% | 11.8\% | 21.1\% | 12.5\% |
|  | Sustainable business model | 22.9\% | 71.4\% | 21.2\% | 25.7\% | 27.2\% | 27.5\% | 27.1\% | 36.4\% | 30.4\% | 40.0\% | 29.4\% | 15.8\% | 27.1\% |
|  | High productivit | 16.7\% | 14.3\% | 13.3\% | 21.9\% | 7.5\% | 2.5\% | 8.5\% | 12.1\% | 13.3\% | 22.2\% | 5.9\% | 18.4\% | 13.1\% |
|  | Innovative and creative | 20.8\% | 28.\% | 20.0\% | 28.\% | 28.6\% | 25.0\% | 35.6\% | 42.4\% | 34.1\% | 37.8\% | 17.6\% | 34.2\% | 28.5\% |
|  | Compeitive labur force | 6.3\% | 42.9\% | 20.6\% | 18.1\% | 15.0\% | 5.0\% | 8.5\% | 21.2\% | 17.0\% | 11.1\% | 2.9\% | 34.2\% | 16.0\% |
|  | Others | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% |
|  | None of the above | 6.3\% | 0.0\% | 6.1\% | 8.6\% | 4.8\% | 7.5\% | 5.1\% | 15.2\% | 11.9\% | 6.7\% | 2.9\% | 7.9\% | 7.4\% |
|  | Sample size (n) | 48 | 7 | 165 | 105 | 147 | 40 | 59 | 33 | 135 | 45 | 34 | 38 | 856 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| What are the weaknesses of the Malaysian SMEs? (Mutiole-answer) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Over dependency on imported inputs | 43.8\% | 14.3\% | 34.7\% | 34.9\% | 40.8\% | 52.5\% | 28.8\% | 36.4\% | 31.9\% | 33.3\% | 29.4\% | 31.6\% | 35.7\% |
|  | Low-skilled technology | 39.6\% | 57.1\% | 49.1\% | 45.3\% | 42.9\% | 45.0\% | 39.0\% | 51.5\% | 40.0\% | 22.2\% | 14.7\% | 44.7\% | 41.9\% |
|  | Low valu-added products | 27.1\% | 14.3\% | 35.9\% | 19.8\% | 24.5\% | 12.5\% | 18.6\% | 33.3\% | 30.4\% | 15.6\% | 14.7\% | 10.5\% | 25.0\% |
|  | Lack of innovaion and creativity | 31.3\% | 42.9\% | 37.7\% | 33.0\% | 35.4\% | 27.5\% | 11.9\% | 39.4\% | 42.2\% | 22.2\% | 29.4\% | 24.1\% | 33.1\% |
|  | Not focus on long-term planning | 29.2\% | 71.4\% | 33.5\% | 38.7\% | 44.9\% | 30.0\% | 42.4\% | 48.5\% | 41.5\% | 40.0\% | 32.4\% | 52.6\% | 39.6\% |
|  | Inadequate R\&D activities | 37.5\% | 42.9\% | 47.3\% | 48.1\% | 44.2\% | 32.5\% | 32.2\% | 51.5\% | 47.4\% | 40.0\% | 47.1\% | 34.2\% | 43.8\% |
|  | Lack of sustainability business model | 27.1\% | 42.9\% | 29.9\% | 31.1\% | 28.\% | 25.0\% | 18.6\% | 24.2\% | 28.9\% | 26.7\% | 26.5\% | 10.5\% | 27.2\% |
|  | Lack of automationdigigtalisation | 27.1\% | 42.9\% | 41.9\% | 41.5\% | 38.8\% | 37.5\% | 20.3\% | 54.5\% | 43.7\% | 37.8\% | 23.5\% | 50.0\% | 39.0\% |
|  | Concentrate on domestic market | 16.7\% | 14.3\% | 23.4\% | 25.5\% | 26.5\% | 12.5\% | 22.0\% | 33.3\% | 23.0\% | 22.2\% | 17.6\% | 34.2\% | 23.6\% |
|  | Lack of export capacity | 41.7\% | 42.9\% | 31.7\% | 34.9\% | 32.7\% | 35.0\% | 25.4\% | 30.3\% | 31.9\% | 20.0\% | 29.4\% | 23.7\% | 31.5\% |
|  | Lack of apaita | 54.2\% | 71.4\% | 39.5\% | 57.5\% | 47.6\% | 45.\% | 49.2\% | 48.5\% | 43.7\% | 46.7\% | 41.2\% | 36.8\% | 46.4\% |
|  | Lack of skilled manpower | 45.8\% | 42.9\% | 57.5\% | 56.6\% | 51.0\% | 55.0\% | 39.0\% | 69.7\% | 53.3\% | 46.7\% | 47.1\% | 47.4\% | 52.5\% |
|  | Others | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 3.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.4\% | 5.0\% | 0.0\% | 9.1\% | 0.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 5.3\% | 1.7\% |
|  | None of the above | 2.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.7\% | 0.0\% | 1.7\% | 3.0\% | 1.5\% | 4.4\% | 2.9\% | 7.9\% | 1.5\% |
|  | Sample size (n) | 48 | 7 | 167 | 106 | 147 | 40 | 59 | 33 | 135 | 45 | 34 | 38 | 859 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| C5 | What are the opportunities for the Malaysian SMEs? (Mutitioleanswer |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Greater market access via free trade agreements | 53.2\% | 71.4\% | 64.1\% | 49.5\% | 62.6\% | 53.8\% | 44.1\% | 63.6\% | 50.4\% | 51.1\% | 50.0\% | 42.1\% | 55.3\% |
|  | Collaboraion with fereign parties for market expansion | 46.8\% | 28.\% | 46.7\% | 47.6\% | 47.6\% | 46.2\% | 47.5\% | 51.5\% | 54.8\% | 55.6\% | 41.2\% | 39.5\% | 48.2\% |
|  | Adopt e-commerce platorm to expand sales | 40.4\% | 57.1\% | 44.9\% | 65.7\% | 72.8\% | 51.3\% | 69.5\% | 54.5\% | 61.5\% | 66.7\% | 67.6\% | 55.3\% | 59.6\% |
|  | Integrate into global value chains via strong linkege with FDI | 42.6\% | 57.1\% | 37.1\% | 24.8\% | 34.0\% | 23.1\% | 37.3\% | 54.5\% | 34.8\% | 40.0\% | 26.5\% | 39.5\% | 35.0\% |
|  | Others | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.6\% | 0.0\% | 1.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 6.1\% | 0.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.7\% |
|  | None of the above | 10.6\% | 0.0\% | 4.8\% | 5.7\% | 2.0\% | 2.6\% | 6.8\% | 3.0\% | 10.4\% | 4.4\% | 0.0\% | 15.8\% | 5.8\% |
|  | Sample size (n) | 47 | 7 | 167 | 105 | 147 | 39 | 59 | 33 | 135 | 45 | 34 | 38 | 856 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| C6 | What are the threats/challenges faced by the Malaysian SMEs? (Mul | ipleanswe |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Competing market share from both domestic and external | 33.3\% | 42.9\% | 47.9\% | 44.3\% | 45.9\% | 51.3\% | 40.7\% | 48.5\% | 48.1\% | 42.2\% | 26.5\% | 23.7\% | 43.8\% |
|  | Slowness in embracing ESG | 33.3\% | 42.9\% | 34.1\% | 30.2\% | 33.\% | 23.1\% | 37.3\% | 27.3\% | 42.2\% | 40.0\% | 20.6\% | 21.1\% | 33.5\% |
|  | Integrating or adapting to new technologies | 41.7\% | 28.\% | 39.5\% | 34.9\% | 39.7\% | 28.2\% | 35.6\% | 24.2\% | 43.7\% | 48.9\% | 8.8\% | 39.5\% | 37.6\% |
|  | Inadequale skills | 50.0\% | 71.4\% | 46.1\% | 45.3\% | 47.3\% | 61.5\% | 40.7\% | 60.6\% | 55.6\% | 53.3\% | 47.1\% | 52.6\% | 49.7\% |
|  | Regulatory urrden and compliance costs | 43.8\% | 14.3\% | 49.1\% | 45.3\% | 43.8\% | 23.1\% | 37.3\% | 51.5\% | 44.4\% | 46.7\% | 44.1\% | 34.2\% | 43.5\% |
|  | Lack of infrastructure support | 37.5\% | 85.7\% | 43.1\% | 39.6\% | 49.3\% | 28.2\% | 50.8\% | 45.5\% | 43.7\% | 40.0\% | 14.7\% | 47.4\% | 42.7\% |
|  | Difficult to obtain finance | 52.1\% | 57.1\% | 37.1\% | 49.1\% | 43.2\% | 59.0\% | 55.9\% | 54.5\% | 52.6\% | 42.2\% | 41.2\% | 39.5\% | 46.6\% |
|  | Lack of market intormation and familiarisation of trade rules. | 25.0\% | 28.\% | 32.9\% | 31.1\% | 38.4\% | 35.9\% | 35.6\% | 42.4\% | 43.7\% | 22.2\% | 35.3\% | 34.2\% | 35.1\% |
|  | Others | 2.1\% | 0.0\% | 3.6\% | 1.9\% | 2.7\% | 2.6\% | 0.0\% | 9.1\% | 0.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.6\% | $2.2 \%$ |
|  | None of the above | 4.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.6\% | 1.9\% | 1.4\% | 0.0\% | 3.4\% | 3.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.2\% | 8.8\% | 5.3\% | 1.9\% |
|  | Sample size (n) | 48 | 7 | 167 | 106 | 146 | 39 | 59 | 33 | 135 | 45 | 34 | 38 | 857 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{6}$ | What are the constraints faced by local SMEs to go into the global | market? ( | le-answer |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Lack of technical know-how | 55.3\% | 71.4\% | 50.9\% | 46.2\% | 51.0\% | 53.8\% | 39.7\% | 51.5\% | 55.6\% | 53.3\% | 26.5\% | 44.7\% | 49.8\% |
|  | Inadequate export markeiting skill | 36.2\% | 28.\% | 34.7\% | 44.3\% | 50.3\% | 33.3\% | 37.9\% | 45.5\% | 43.0\% | 42.2\% | 26.5\% | 31.6\% | 40.4\% |
|  | Non-tarifit bariers (NTB) | 27.7\% | 42.9\% | 21.6\% | 19.8\% | 23.1\% | 15.4\% | 31.0\% | 21.2\% | 24.4\% | 33.3\% | 23.5\% | 15.8\% | 23.4\% |
|  | Barriers to a acess market and product intormation | 27.7\% | 85.7\% | 29.9\% | 36.8\% | 34.7\% | 28.2\% | 39.7\% | 36.4\% | 37.0\% | 35.6\% | 23.5\% | 42.1\% | 34.5\% |
|  | Obtaining finance | 42.6\% | 28.6\% | 27.5\% | 34.0\% | 29.9\% | 33.3\% | 27.6\% | 42.4\% | 34.8\% | 40.0\% | 23.5\% | 28.9\% | 32.1\% |
|  | Inadequate knowlegge about the trade rules and regulations | 46.8\% | 42.9\% | 40.7\% | 40.6\% | 46.9\% | 35.9\% | 37.9\% | 63.6\% | 46.7\% | 37.8\% | 26.5\% | 44.7\% | 43.0\% |
|  | Small economic of scale | 55.3\% | 28.\% | 59.3\% | 59.4\% | 59.9\% | 61.5\% | 50.0\% | 60.6\% | 53.3\% | 51.1\% | 55.9\% | 44.7\% | 56.3\% |
|  | Uncompetitive in souring of raw materials | 44.7\% | 28.\% | 56.9\% | 37.7\% | 40.8\% | 30.8\% | 37.9\% | 42.4\% | 40.0\% | 40.0\% | 52.9\% | 26.3\% | 42.8\% |
|  | Others | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 3.0\% | 0.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.6\% | 0.5\% |
|  | None of the above | 4.3\% | 14.3\% | 1.2\% | 0.9\% | 4.1\% | 0.0\% | 5.2\% | 3.0\% | 1.5\% | 8.9\% | 8.8\% | 15.8\% | 3.6\% |
|  | Sample size (n) | 47 | 7 | 167 | 106 | 147 | 39 | 58 | 33 | 135 | 45 | 34 | 38 | 856 |


| MALAYSIA'S BUSINESS AND ECONOMIC CONDITIONS SURVEY (M-BECS) RESULTS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| FOR THE 1ST HALF-YEAR OF 2022 (JAN-JUN 2022) AND OUTLOOK FOR THE 2ND HALF-YEAR OF 2022 (JUL-DEC 2022) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ¢ | Ј $\stackrel{1}{4}$ $\stackrel{1}{4}$ |
| C8 | What should SMEs do to compete in the global market? (Multiple-answer) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Improve the product quality and after-sale service | 56.3\% | 57.1\% | 49.7\% | 58.5\% | 57.1\% | 51.3\% | 59.3\% | 57.6\% | 55.6\% | 42.2\% | 32.4\% | 55.3\% | 53.6\% |
|  | Product differentiation and uniqueness | 45.8\% | 71.4\% | 54.5\% | 46.2\% | 65.3\% | 48.7\% | 44.1\% | 60.6\% | 51.1\% | 40.0\% | 35.3\% | 44.7\% | 51.7\% |
|  | Enhance the product design and packaging | 35.4\% | 42.9\% | 40.1\% | 38.7\% | 49.0\% | 38.5\% | 45.8\% | 45.5\% | 36.3\% | 40.0\% | 26.5\% | 28.9\% | 40.1\% |
|  | Better practices of ESG | 39.6\% | 42.9\% | 32.9\% | 36.8\% | 32.0\% | 28.2\% | 44.1\% | 30.3\% | 47.4\% | 44.4\% | 26.5\% | 26.3\% | 36.5\% |
|  | Integrating or adapting to new technologies | 52.1\% | 71.4\% | 57.5\% | 59.4\% | 49.7\% | 41.0\% | 44.1\% | 63.6\% | 63.0\% | 55.6\% | 58.8\% | 65.8\% | 55.9\% |
|  | Participate in trade fair, trade mission and business networking | 52.1\% | 42.9\% | 57.5\% | 55.7\% | 71.4\% | 53.8\% | 54.2\% | 54.5\% | 59.3\% | 42.2\% | 47.1\% | 39.5\% | 57.0\% |
|  | Strategic alliance with local business partners | 35.4\% | 28.6\% | 44.3\% | 45.3\% | 44.9\% | 28.2\% | 59.3\% | 54.5\% | 60.0\% | 44.4\% | 52.9\% | 42.1\% | 47.3\% |
|  | Others | 2.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 3.0\% | 0.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% |
|  | None of the above | 8.3\% | 14.3\% | 2.4\% | 1.9\% | 2.7\% | 0.0\% | 1.7\% | 3.0\% | 2.2\% | 6.7\% | 8.8\% | 10.5\% | 3.5\% |
|  | Sample size (n) | 48 | 7 | 167 | 106 | 147 | 39 | 59 | 33 | 135 | 45 | 34 | 38 | 858 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| C9 | What should the government do better to enhance SMEs capability? (Muttiple-answer) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Promote SMEs-FDI linkages | 43.8\% | 57.1\% | 51.5\% | 53.3\% | 52.7\% | 55.0\% | 45.8\% | 45.5\% | 56.3\% | 46.7\% | 35.3\% | 50.0\% | 50.9\% |
|  | Design more, appropriate and attractive financing solutions | 47.9\% | 42.9\% | 54.5\% | 51.4\% | 56.2\% | 40.0\% | 45.8\% | 45.5\% | 51.1\% | 37.8\% | 55.9\% | 52.6\% | 50.9\% |
|  | Encourage merger and acquisition (M\&A) between SMEs | 31.3\% | 42.9\% | 29.9\% | 39.0\% | 30.1\% | 30.0\% | 42.4\% | 27.3\% | 43.7\% | 40.0\% | 26.5\% | 26.3\% | 34.4\% |
|  | Reduce the regulatory burden and compliance costs | 50.0\% | 57.1\% | 63.5\% | 61.9\% | 58.2\% | 57.5\% | 57.6\% | 72.7\% | 57.0\% | 42.2\% | 67.6\% | 52.6\% | 58.8\% |
|  | Provide management and skills training | 43.8\% | 71.4\% | 47.3\% | 46.7\% | 56.2\% | 30.0\% | 44.1\% | 36.4\% | 52.6\% | 35.6\% | 29.4\% | 39.5\% | 46.4\% |
|  | Provide product and branding development | 31.3\% | 42.9\% | 38.3\% | 35.2\% | 49.3\% | 47.5\% | 33.9\% | 51.5\% | 47.4\% | 37.8\% | 32.4\% | 31.6\% | 41.0\% |
|  | Improve information and dissemination | 35.4\% | 42.9\% | 27.5\% | 37.1\% | 45.2\% | 35.0\% | 47.5\% | 36.4\% | 44.4\% | 42.2\% | 35.3\% | 39.5\% | 38.6\% |
|  | Increase access to markets | 54.2\% | 28.6\% | 41.3\% | 43.8\% | 45.9\% | 47.5\% | 50.8\% | 48.5\% | 49.6\% | 42.2\% | 32.4\% | 47.4\% | 45.5\% |
|  | Increase the technology base of SMEs | 52.1\% | 71.4\% | 58.1\% | 58.1\% | 54.8\% | 45.0\% | 59.3\% | 45.5\% | 61.5\% | 53.3\% | 38.2\% | 68.4\% | 56.2\% |
|  | Others | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 12.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.8\% |
|  | Sample size (n) | 48 | 7 | 167 | 105 | 146 | 40 | 59 | 33 | 135 | 45 | 34 | 38 | 857 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| C10 | Products that SMEs have potential to go into global market. (Multiple-answer) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Snack products | 25.0\% | 0.0\% | 30.5\% | 28.3\% | 38.4\% | 35.0\% | 28.8\% | 33.3\% | 33.3\% | 26.7\% | 20.6\% | 19.4\% | 30.6\% |
|  | Beverages | 27.1\% | 28.6\% | 25.7\% | 20.8\% | 24.7\% | 20.0\% | 23.7\% | 27.3\% | 36.3\% | 20.0\% | 20.6\% | 22.2\% | 25.7\% |
|  | Wood-based products | 31.3\% | 42.9\% | 41.9\% | 32.1\% | 35.6\% | 27.5\% | 33.9\% | 42.4\% | 33.3\% | 37.8\% | 17.6\% | 44.4\% | 35.4\% |
|  | Frozen food | 41.7\% | 28.6\% | 35.3\% | 33.0\% | 36.3\% | 45.0\% | 42.4\% | 42.4\% | 33.3\% | 31.1\% | 38.2\% | 30.6\% | 36.1\% |
|  | Toys / Action figures | 6.3\% | 0.0\% | 6.0\% | 8.5\% | 6.8\% | 7.5\% | 13.6\% | 6.1\% | 6.7\% | 4.4\% | 8.8\% | 5.6\% | 7.1\% |
|  | Personal protective equipment (PPE) | 14.6\% | 28.6\% | 12.6\% | 23.6\% | 16.4\% | 17.5\% | 11.9\% | 51.5\% | 24.4\% | 31.1\% | 29.4\% | 22.2\% | 20.4\% |
|  | Construction materials \& hardware | 14.6\% | 28.6\% | 16.8\% | 20.8\% | 15.1\% | 5.0\% | 11.9\% | 21.2\% | 14.8\% | 24.4\% | 26.5\% | 2.8\% | 16.1\% |
|  | Fruits | 45.8\% | 57.1\% | 41.3\% | 42.5\% | 56.8\% | 35.0\% | 39.0\% | 54.5\% | 50.4\% | 40.0\% | 47.1\% | 58.3\% | 46.8\% |
|  | Aquaculture | 45.8\% | 57.1\% | 31.7\% | 35.8\% | 27.4\% | 30.0\% | 27.1\% | 45.5\% | 25.2\% | 15.6\% | 17.6\% | 33.3\% | 30.3\% |
|  | Herb products | 31.3\% | 28.6\% | 26.3\% | 20.8\% | 28.1\% | 12.5\% | 33.9\% | 27.3\% | 34.8\% | 17.8\% | 38.2\% | 25.0\% | 27.5\% |
|  | Canned products | 18.8\% | 28.6\% | 21.0\% | 16.0\% | 19.9\% | 10.0\% | 25.4\% | 27.3\% | 11.9\% | 8.9\% | 14.7\% | 11.1\% | 17.4\% |
|  | Halal products | 37.5\% | 57.1\% | 59.3\% | 54.7\% | 63.7\% | 55.0\% | 49.2\% | 63.6\% | 52.6\% | 40.0\% | 61.8\% | 47.2\% | 55.0\% |
|  | Nutritional supplements | 14.6\% | 42.9\% | 20.4\% | 17.0\% | 17.1\% | 22.5\% | 23.7\% | 24.2\% | 25.9\% | 22.2\% | 11.8\% | 11.1\% | 20.0\% |
|  | Palm-based bio products | 58.3\% | 57.1\% | 58.1\% | 52.8\% | 58.9\% | 42.5\% | 49.2\% | 45.5\% | 48.1\% | 37.8\% | 44.1\% | 52.8\% | 52.3\% |
|  | Ceramic products | 10.4\% | 0.0\% | 14.4\% | 11.3\% | 15.1\% | 15.0\% | 15.3\% | 24.2\% | 11.9\% | 15.6\% | 14.7\% | 16.7\% | 14.0\% |
|  | Cosmetic products | 14.6\% | 14.3\% | 13.8\% | 17.0\% | 14.4\% | 12.5\% | 13.6\% | 15.2\% | 22.2\% | 17.8\% | 29.4\% | 16.7\% | 16.6\% |
|  | Biomedical products | 18.8\% | 42.9\% | 19.8\% | 27.4\% | 18.5\% | 17.5\% | 15.3\% | 36.4\% | 25.9\% | 31.1\% | 35.3\% | 13.9\% | 22.8\% |
|  | Ornamental fish | 20.8\% | 0.0\% | 16.2\% | 13.2\% | 15.8\% | 10.0\% | 15.3\% | 27.3\% | 14.8\% | 6.7\% | 11.8\% | 11.1\% | 14.8\% |
|  | Sport equipment | 8.3\% | 0.0\% | 4.2\% | 7.5\% | 6.8\% | 2.5\% | 11.9\% | 3.0\% | 8.9\% | 8.9\% | 11.8\% | 2.8\% | 6.9\% |
|  | Others | 2.1\% | 0.0\% | 3.6\% | 0.9\% | 2.7\% | 2.5\% | 0.0\% | 6.1\% | 0.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.8\% | 2.0\% |
|  | Sample size (n) | 48 | 7 | 167 | 106 | 146 | 40 | 59 | 33 | 135 | 45 | 34 | 36 | 856 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| C11 Services that SMEs have potential to go into global market. (Muttiple-answer) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Software design | 31.3\% | 28.6\% | 27.9\% | 28.6\% | 25.9\% | 32.5\% | 28.8\% | 36.4\% | 40.3\% | 40.0\% | 26.5\% | 52.6\% | 32.1\% |
|  | Programming | 18.8\% | 0.0\% | 19.4\% | 19.0\% | 14.0\% | 25.0\% | 23.7\% | 36.4\% | 23.9\% | 28.9\% | 20.6\% | 34.2\% | 21.4\% |
|  | Engineering services | 22.9\% | 42.9\% | 23.6\% | 33.3\% | 22.4\% | 15.0\% | 16.9\% | 30.3\% | 20.9\% | 26.7\% | 20.6\% | 26.3\% | 23.9\% |
|  | Medical tourism | 35.4\% | 71.4\% | 53.3\% | 54.3\% | 44.8\% | 40.0\% | 50.8\% | 51.5\% | 51.5\% | 46.7\% | 52.9\% | 39.5\% | 49.0\% |
|  | Smartphone gaming apps | 18.8\% | 42.9\% | 18.2\% | 21.9\% | 23.8\% | 20.0\% | 32.2\% | 33.3\% | 20.1\% | 15.6\% | 23.5\% | 44.7\% | 23.0\% |
|  | Industrial design activities | 18.8\% | 14.3\% | 24.2\% | 21.0\% | 17.5\% | 20.0\% | 16.9\% | 21.2\% | 19.4\% | 17.8\% | 26.5\% | 21.1\% | 20.3\% |
|  | Education | 29.2\% | 42.9\% | 32.7\% | 27.6\% | 35.7\% | 25.0\% | 27.1\% | 45.5\% | 40.3\% | 26.7\% | 35.3\% | 34.2\% | 33.3\% |
|  | Acupuncture services | 8.3\% | 14.3\% | 12.1\% | 10.5\% | 14.0\% | 5.0\% | 10.2\% | 18.2\% | 10.4\% | 13.3\% | 17.6\% | 2.6\% | 11.4\% |
|  | Accounting services | 18.8\% | 14.3\% | 18.8\% | 17.1\% | 18.2\% | 2.5\% | 10.2\% | 24.2\% | 26.9\% | 22.2\% | 17.6\% | 7.9\% | 18.2\% |
|  | Maintenance, repair and overhaul (MRO) | 20.8\% | 42.9\% | 17.6\% | 17.1\% | 25.9\% | 7.5\% | 16.9\% | 18.2\% | 20.1\% | 20.0\% | 26.5\% | 21.1\% | 19.9\% |
|  | Entertainment post-production activities | 12.5\% | 28.6\% | 10.9\% | 15.2\% | 18.9\% | 0.0\% | 22.0\% | 21.2\% | 17.9\% | 13.3\% | 29.4\% | 31.6\% | 16.6\% |
|  | Food and restaurant franchising | 41.7\% | 42.9\% | 37.0\% | 40.0\% | 46.2\% | 35.0\% | 39.0\% | 45.5\% | 37.3\% | 28.9\% | 32.4\% | 15.8\% | 38.1\% |
|  | Graphic design | 12.5\% | 0.0\% | 12.1\% | 17.1\% | 18.9\% | 7.5\% | 8.5\% | 21.2\% | 17.9\% | 17.8\% | 8.8\% | 13.2\% | 14.8\% |
|  | Fashion design services | 12.5\% | 28.6\% | 14.5\% | 12.4\% | 18.9\% | 15.0\% | 10.2\% | 24.2\% | 18.7\% | 20.0\% | 8.8\% | 7.9\% | 15.5\% |
|  | Data processing activities | 22.9\% | 14.3\% | 26.7\% | 24.8\% | 21.0\% | 27.5\% | 33.9\% | 36.4\% | 30.6\% | 26.7\% | 26.5\% | 47.4\% | 27.6\% |
|  | Halal consultancy services | 29.2\% | 42.9\% | 44.8\% | 45.7\% | 52.4\% | 32.5\% | 27.1\% | 57.6\% | 39.6\% | 37.8\% | 47.1\% | 36.8\% | 42.5\% |
|  | Others | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.6\% | 0.5\% |
|  | Sample size (n) | 48 | 7 | 165 | 105 | 143 | 40 | 59 | 33 | 134 | 45 | 34 | 38 | 851 |
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Numbers may not add up to $100.0 \%$ due to rounding, which is also applied for the rest of the report.
    ${ }^{2} A$ business will be deemed as an SME if it meets either one of the two specified qualifying criteria, namely sales turnover or full-time employees, whichever is lower basis, as endorsed by the National SME Development Council (NSDC) and published by SME Corporation Malaysia in 2013. For a detailed definition, please refer to Appendix 1.

